### ATTACHMENTS

Affidavit of Ja	ames J. Carroll, III, Esq.	
Exhibit A –	Pleasantville's Appeal submitted to USAC with attachments relating to FY 2005 debt	1-120
Exhibit B -	Electronic notice dated June 25, 2018 received by James J. Carroll, III, Esq. as notice from USAC of the denial of the Pleasantville School District's appeal	121
Exhibit C -	USAC's denial of Pleasantville's appeal	122-128
Exhibit D –	Pleasantville's Appeal submitted to USAC appealing the denial of FY 2017 funding	129-146

### Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Schools and Libraries	) CC Docket No. 02-6
Universal Service Support Mechanism	ĺ
Consolidated Request for Review and/or Waiver by	) Form 471 Application No. 484579 ) Billed Entity No. 123365
and Pleasantville School District (NJ)	) Bired Birthy No. 123303
of a Funding Decision by the	)
Universal Service Administrative Company	j e e

### AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES J. CARROLL, III, ESQ.

### I, James J. Carroll, III, Esq. swear:

- 1. I and my firm are counsel for the Pleasantville School District.
- On or about March 2, 2018, we filed an appeal with USAC relating to its attempt to collect FY 2005 funds based upon an alleged refusal of the District to provide USAC with sufficient information. Exhibit A is a true copy of said appeal submitted and all attachments thereto.
- 3. Exhibit B is a true copy of the electronic notice I received from USAC first notifying me of USAC's decision to deny the appeal.
- 4. Exhibit C is a true copy of the opinion issued by USAC in denying the appeal.
- 5. Exhibit D is a true copy of the appeal filed relating to the denial of FY 2017 funds.
- 6. I affirm that the foregoing statements made by me are true to the best of my knowledge, and that if the statements made by me are willfully false I may be subject to punishment.

DATE: August 13, 2018 s/James J. Carroll, III, Esq.
JAMES J. CARROLL, III, ESQ.

# EXHIBIT A

APPLICANT WHO IS FILING APPEAL: PLEASANTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

BILLED ENTITY NUMBER:

123365

SPIN:

143008185

FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER:

0006516231

CONTACT INFORMATION:

JAMES J. CARROLL, III, ESQ.,

COUNSEL FOR PLEASANTVILLE S.D. 1 NORTH NEW YORK ROAD, STE. 39

GALLOWAY, NJ 08205 PHONE: 609-404-3440 EMAIL: casinoatty@aol.com

### **DOCUMENTATION:**

1. **DEMAND PAYMENT LETTER** FOR FRN #1344965 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,200; FRN #1345400 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$34,14.80; FRN #1345358 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$46,080.00 - DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2018 FOR FUNDING YEAR 2005/SERVICE PROVIDER NAME: COMTEC SERVICES, INC.

**DEMAND PAYMENT LETTER** FOR FRN #1345791 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$266,340.47 - DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2018 FOR FUNDING YEAR 2005/SERVICE PROVIDER NAME: EPLUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

**DEMAND PAYMENT LETTER** FOR FRN #1343691 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$27,000 - DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2018 FOR FUNDING YEAR 2005/SERVICE PROVIDER NAME: VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC.

**<u>DEMAND PAYMENT LETTER</u>** FOR FRN #1343784 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$27,268.49 - DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2018 FOR FUNDING YEAR 2005/SERVICE PROVIDER NAME: SPRINT SPECTRUM LP

**DEMAND PAYMENT LETTER** FOR FRN #1346262 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$18,206.69; FRN #1346307 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,175.87 - DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2018 FOR FUNDING YEAR 2005/SERVICE PROVIDER NAME: XTEL COMMUNICATIONS INC.

- 2. SIGNED CERTIFICATION FROM ELISHA THOMPKINS, BA.
- 3. COPY OF FCDL ISSUED ON 1/19/18
- 4. COPY OF CORRESPONDENCE TO/FROM DISTRICT AND USAC DATED: 10/2/17,10/9/17, 10/13/17, 10/30/17, 11/15/17, 11/28/17, AND 1/16/18
- 5. PBOE JAN./2010 LEGAL BILL FOR SERVICES
- 6. COPY OF EMAILS DATED 9/22/10 TO/FROM LINDA GEIGER TO ELISHA THOMPKINS, BA

### 7. EMAILS AND LETTER TO LINDA GEIGER TO/FROM MARTIN FRIEDMAN

### **EXPLANATION:**

USAC has asserted that Pleasantville has not repaid \$403,517.54 in previously disbursed E-rate funds. USAC determined that these funding commitments must be rescinded, and the disbursed funding recovered due to a violation of E-rate program rules. USAC asserted that it sent Demand Payment Notice on this debt to Pleasantville by letters dated June 16, 2017; August 17, 2017 and September 18, 2017. Pleasantville asserts by signed certification under penalty of law that it never received the first two Demand Payment Notices dated June 16, 2017 and August 17, 2017. The first notice Pleasantville received regarding this alleged debt was by letter dated September 18, 017. See attached signed Certification of Elisha Thompkins, Business Administrator, Pleasantville Board of Education.

In said Demand Payment Notices, which contained a copy of the Funding Adjustment Reports ("COMAD") for the above references debts, USAC stated that its investigation had resulted in the following assertion:

During the course of a review, you [the District] was asked to provide information regarding Martin Friedman and Alemar Consulting and the roles he played at your school, including his role in the competitive bidding process. You did not provide specifics regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman in your competitive bidding and vendor selection process. Therefore, USAC is <u>unable to make a determination</u> if your school engaged in a fair and open competitive bidding process free from conflicts of interest. {Emphasis added.}

This explanation simply did not contain information sufficient to inform the District why the funding was being rescinded, or if it had any basis for appeal. Specifically, the COMADs did not state when the "review" was done by USAC; on what date the District was asked to provide information; or to whom said request was sent. It did not indicate whether the District responded to the inquiry, but the response was not "specific" enough; or, if the District did respond, what about their response was missing? Rather, the only information the District had was that sometime in the last twelve (12) years, USAC allegedly requested information from the District about Martin Friedman and allegedly the District failed to provide "the specific" information USAC was seeking. Because the COMADs were so vague and because this all allegedly happened twelve (12) years ago, the District had no choice but to request additional documentation from USAC regarding the matter to determine whether it could appeal.

Under the FCC's debt collection regulations, debtors have fifteen (15) days from the date of the demand letter to request a retrieval of invoices and documentation related to a debt to the FCC or USAC.

By letter dated October 2, 2017, Pleasantville provided USAC with the signed Certification from the Business Administrator indicating that that the District had not received the initial two notices from USAC. The letter dated October 2, 2017, also requested a copy of USAC's file relating to its investigation. The letter also placed USAC on notice that the District intended to dispute the propriety of the debt; and/or seek a waiver; and /or appeal the notification. This letter was timely, as it was sent within twelve (12) days of receipt of the first notice the District received from USAC.

However, <u>USAC did not provide any response to the District's October 2, 2017 letter</u>. Thereafter, by letter dated October 9, 2017, the District again reiterated its request for documentation indicating what investigation was conducted and what was the basis for the USAC's COMADs. <u>USAC did not respond to the letter</u>. Thereafter, by letter dated October 13, 2017, the District again reiterated its request for documentation. Finally, on October 30, 2017, USAC responded and provided the District with documentation. This documentation was provided to the District over forty (40) days <u>after</u> USAC first notified the District of its demand for repayment of \$403,517.54 from funding years 2005, or over eleven (11) years ago.

Upon receipt of the documentation, which was quite voluminous, the District immediately began its own investigation.

By letter dated November 28, 2017 (or within 31 days of receipt of the voluminous documentation), the District notified USAC that the USAC documentation, as well as the District's own records, indicated that in 2010, the District <u>did respond</u> to an inquiry from Linda Grieger, relating to information about Martin Friedman. In several of the responses the District provided to Ms. Geiger's inquiries, the District indicated that the matter was currently in litigation and that the Board's solicitor would be providing additional information as requested. Based upon the same, the District then attempted to contact the Board's solicitor at the time, namely, Ray Hamlin, Esq., to determine what information was provided on the District's behalf. In the letter dated November 28, 2017, the <u>District requested additional time</u> to secure this information from the Board's prior solicitor and requested a reasonable amount of time to obtain the necessary information relating to USAC's allegation that it "did not provide specifics regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman" in the competitive bidding process and thereby allow the District to investigate, refute, appeal or seek a waiver of the claim by USAC that it is owed \$403,517.54.

### USAC did not provide any response to the District's November 28, 2017 letter.

By letter dated January 16, 2018, the District notified USAC that it had been able to contact Ray Hamlin, Esq., the District's counsel in 2010. Mr. Hamlin indicated that he had no documentation that reflected that his firm was ever placed on notice of this issue, who the notification was sent to, whether there is proof of its receipt, and who specifically provided a response to it. In the January 16, 2018 letter to USAC, the District asserted that based upon Mr. Hamlin's response, there is simply no proof one way or the other to USAC's assertion that twelve (12) years ago it made an inquiry of the District and no one responded. The District requested a meeting with the individual with appropriate authority at USAC to discuss this matter to seek an amicable resolution. In response to said request, by email dated January 31, 2018 (or approximately 133 days after the District first received the Demand Payment Notice in September 2017, and after failure to respond to the District letter dated November 28, 2017), Ms. Sheila Murray of USAC wrote:

We reviewed your January 16, 2018 letter in response to USAC's November 15, 2017 Notice of Dismissal to Pleasantville School District. As indicated in the November 15th notice, Pleasantville has not repaid \$403,517.54 in previously disbursed E-rate funds. USAC determined that these funding commitments must be rescinded, and the disbursed funding recovered due to a violation of E-rate program rules. Because of Pleasantville's failure to repay the outstanding amounts, its Funding Year (FY) 2017 funding applications were denied and the funding commitment decision letter (FCDL) was issued on January 19, 2018. (A copy of

the FCDL is attached and the FCDL is also available in the E-rate Productivity Center and noted in Pleasantville's News Feed.)

To appeal this denial decision, you must submit your appeal to USAC within sixty (60) days of the denial decisions (by March 20, 2018). If you have any questions regarding the appeal process, please refer to the appeals section of USAC's website.

In response to said email, the District submits this appeal and requests a waiver of the administrative limitations period for pursuing recovery of wrongful disbursements from the Universal Service Fund.

A party seeking review of a USAC decision is required to file an appeal with USAC within 60 days of the issuance of the decision sought to be reviewed. See, <u>Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries</u>, WC Docket No. 13-184, Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 29 FCC Rcd 8870, 8970, para. 252 (2014); 47 C.F.R. §54.719(a), (b) (2015).

Pleasantville asserts that special circumstances exist in this matter to justify a waiver of the deadline. See, Requests for Review or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academia Avance, et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 28 FCC Rcd 12859 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2013). First, it never received the notices sent in June or August. Thus, Pleasantville was unable to timely file an appeal due to circumstances out of its control. Second, because this matter occurred twelve (12) years ago, the information provided in the COMAD was not sufficiently detailed to provide Pleasantville with the ability to appeal the matter timely. Rather, Pleasantville was forced to request additional documentation from USAC to understand the basis for the COMAD. Third, USAC was delinquent in its responses to Pleasantville's requests for documentation and ignored Pleasantville's requests for additional time. To provide the District with vague and unspecific allegations that USAC has conducted some type of unknown "review," without any indication when the "review" was done; or by whom, is simply unreasonable. The District had no way of knowing what occurred back in 2005 and had no way to know what specific information was asked for; to whom it was asked; or when. Even USAC's own explanation admitted, "USAC is unable to make a determination." Based upon its inability to appeal because of all the unknown factors, the District took the reasonable step of immediately contacting the USAC and asking for more specific documentation. After receipt of the documentation, the District immediately began its own investigation. Then, when the District was able to determine that it believed it had responded to USAC's "review" and "inquiry," back in 2010, the District again, immediately contacted USAC and informed them of its findings and asked for a meeting with any individual who may have the authority to review the evidence and resolve the issue. When USAC failed to agree to the same by email dated January 31, 2018, the District filed the instant appeal in less than thirty (30) days.

Finally, USAC's recovery action for funding year 2005 violates the FCC's policy directive that USAC finish its investigations and seek recovery within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year. As USAC is aware, in the Fifth Report and Order, the Commission "for administrative efficiency" announced a policy that inquiries related to wrongful E-rate program disbursements should be completed within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year. See, Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket 02-6, Fifth Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 15808, 15819 (2004) (Fifth Report and Order).

The Commission found that this policy struck "an appropriate balance between preserving the Commission's fiduciary duty to protect the fund against waste, fraud and abuse and the beneficiaries' need for certainty and closure in their E-rate application processes." Id.at 15819, para. 33. Pleasantville acknowledges that this policy is a "preference," and not an absolute bar to recovery; however, it respectfully asserts that the same can serve as a basis to support a claim of special circumstances in seeking an untimely appeal. In the instant matter, USAC did not seek reimbursement of the monies which were distributed in funding year 2005 until September 2017 — or twelve years later. Such a lengthy amount of time should serve as sufficient special circumstances to allow Pleasantville to file an appeal out of time.

Pleasantville also submits that there is a significant difference in the COMADS issued by USAC in 2017 – the year Pleasantville received its COMADS, which show a present pattern of conduct on the part of USAC which flagrantly violates the FCC's clearly stated policy preference that inquiries related to wrongful E-rate program disbursements should be completed within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year.

Specifically, between 2013-2016, the commitment adjustment occurred on average 2.8 years after the start of the funding year. This is consistent with the timeframe of audits that result from the disbursement of funds. Funds are disbursed in Year 1; payments are audited in Year 2; and the audit findings are approved and the recovery of funds initiated sometime soon thereafter; i.e., 2.8 years after the funds are disbursed. However, in 2017 the COMADS were different; not only were the applicant numbers significantly increased, and more money was at risk, the COMADS themselves were nearly twice as old. In fact the oldest COMAD was from funding year 2001 – or 17 years ago. The total amount in money sought to be reimbursed to USAC went from \$4.3 million during 2013-16 to over \$60 million in 2017.

Pleasantville respectfully asserts that this pattern of conduct exhibited by USAC in 2017 directly and significantly violates the FCC's "policy preference" that inquiries related to wrongful E-rate program disbursements should be completed within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year. In 2017, Pleasantville was clearly not the only District who was handed a COMAD that was eleven (11) years old. This change in procedures by USAC should not be tolerated and is a flagrant violation of the expressed and clear *preference* of the FCC in handling these matters. The same should be a consideration in deciding if allowing Pleasantville to move forward with its alleged untimely appeal is in the "public interest." Pleasantville respectfully asserts the same is clearly in the public's best interest.

Alternatively, Pleasantville also requests, a waiver of the 60-day filing deadline based upon good cause shown. See, 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. A rule may be waived where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. See, Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990). It is a hardship for Pleasantville to repay this extraordinary large amount of money that was disbursed twelve (12) years ago. Equity dictates that Pleasantville be granted a waiver of the deadline based upon the reasons stated above. See, WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969), aff'd, 459 F.2d 1203 (D.C. Cir. 1972). Pleasantville asserts that a waiver is appropriate because special circumstances in this matter warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. See, Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166. USAC has a strong interest in ensuring efficient program administration, but Pleasantville respectfully asserts that it

does not serve the public interest to refuse to allow Pleasantville the opportunity to challenge the reimbursement request from USAC under these circumstances.

In support of the District's assertion that its investigation has revealed that it did respond to Linda Gieger's inquiry back in 2010 regarding Martin Friedman, and therefore, said debt is invalid, the District attaches the following documents:

- 1. Pleasantville BOE January 2010 legal bill for services rendered by Ray Hamlin, Esq., counsel for Pleasantville at the time which indicate that on 12/8/10 and 12/17/10, he spoke with Michael Shea and Elisha Thompkins regarding documents requested by USAC; on 12/21/10, he spoke with Linda Geiger at USAC regarding documents requested by USAC from PBOE regarding e-rate funding.
- 2. A copy of emails dated September 22, 2010 from Linda Geiger to Elisha Thompkins wherein Mr. Thompkins provided a written response to Ms. Geiger's questions which specifically related to Mr. Friedman.
- 3. A copy of a letter to Linda Geiger from Martin Friedman written in response to a November 10, 2010 request for information for Special Compliance Review Team. Mr. Friedman provided detailed answers to a number of questions all relating to the bidding and selection of providers process.

In conclusion, the District respectfully requests that it should be permitted to appeal the COMADs issued to Pleasantville by letters dated September 18, 2017 referenced above and said appeal should be granted based upon the documentation provided herein which disputes USAC's assertion that the District did not provide specifics regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman in the competitive bidding and vendor selection process. USAC should rescind all of its COMADS relating to the \$403,517.54.

Date: March 2, 2018

s/James J. Carroll, Esq.

JAMES J. CARROLL, ESQ.

Counsel for Pleasantville School District

6

Catherine Manning
PERASANTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.O. Day 960
Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0890

\_\_\_\_



#### Demand Payment Latter SECOND REGISEST

4 Familing Year 2001: July 1, 2009 - June 38, 2006 )

September 18, 2017 Catherine Kanning PLEASANTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. Box 060 Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0960

#### - PAST DEE NOTICE -THIS MODICE PROVIDES IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOVE YOUR ACCOUNT AND YOUR RIGHTS AND CALLGATIONS UNDER LAW

Ba: Form 471 Application Number:

484579

Funding Years

2005

Applicant's Fore Identifier:

PROVE 1 123369

Dilled Botity Number: PCC Registration Number:

0006516231

APZM:

143001362

Service Provider Name:

Verigon New Jersey Inc

Service Drawider Contact Serson:

Robert Kannegieser

Payment Due by:

9/18/2017

You were recently ment a Desand Pajment Latter informing you of the need to recover force for the Punding Manage Manberla) (PME) listed on the Punding Commitment Adjustment Report (Report) attached to this latter. Our records indicate that you have not responded to the Demans Payment Letter. As of 09/18/2017, the debt is 11-80 days punt due. Decames you did not pay the full sebust, it is past due fdelinguest; end it is legally enforceable.

THE POLICIONSHIP PROVIDENCES COMPAIN IMPORTANT IMPORMATION AND A CHRONIPTION OF LIGIAL PIGHTS, OBLIGATIONS, AND GROWESSITIES

- Parauant to the provinces of the Debt Callection Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-3653 and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (Public Law 186-134), as amended (the Dill), as set forth police, continued failure to make the demanded payment has resulted in the approximant of idelpishrative charges, parallies, and interest, and it may result in sanctions, including, but not libited to, administrative proceedings or fedicial action to recover the constabiling debt.
- 2. Unions we receive full payment, evidence that the debt is not owed, or a request for installment persons plan for repaying the outstanding debt within 30 days of the date of this letter (Demand Date), pursuant to the DCIA, you say incoractitional tharges and amous, and the debt may be transferred to the Secretary of Treasury (Treasury) for debt collection. The PCC has determined that the funds are

the British is nearly and engine of third Properties - Replacement between Marie F to Certifier Pears George Print Directly, Patallebury 51 1/30-9-4-6-Wines in all the all beautering and

- owed to the United States parameted to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. S 3751 and 47 U.S.C. S 254. Because the ampula amount is a dept owed to the United States, we are required by the ICIA to impose interest and so invare you what may bepon if you do not any the full existancing scot. Under the BCIA, the United States will charge interest from the water of this notice, and you will be required to pay the administrative costs of proceeding and handling a delinquent debt as set by the transmity. The freegory will impose additional charges (univertly 28% of the debt). Additional information requiring the DCIA is available on 31 U.S.C. 38 3701, 3711, 3716, 3717 and 37208, the Pedaral Claims Collection Stanzards (e.g. 31 C.S.R. 5 568.), at eaq.: and 37 C.S.R. 5 a.1931, at eaq.:
  - i. Pinage he advised that when the dept is transferred, you may as object to an administrative proceeding if one has not already been initiated face 47 C.F.R. 5 [1.1910]. For example, information about your delinepent account has been or will be reported to credit because face 31 U.S.C. 5 [7](6); and administrative offset has been or may be applied (see 31 U.S.C. 5 [7](6). Soreover, larger J1 U.S.C. 5 [7](8), a person owing my outstanding non-tax deby that is in delinquest states shall not be eligible for Federal Ciparetic Larger that is in delinquest states be referred to the Catena States Department of Canting (DCI) for enforced occllection, which may require in additional administrative costs. Jepalately, we may also request action by the Commission's Enforcement Aurents.
  - A. Bursuom: to the Comminator's PCIA Green (PCC 04-72, paleased Apr. 1), 2009). the PCC will withhold mation as any application or request for benefite made by an entity that is delicament in its non-tax debts ownit to the Commission and shall dimles such applications or requests if the delinquest debt is not resolved. The Commission has generally referred to this on the "Red Light Sale". This rule applies to the Subsolu and Liveries Universal Service Support Machanism. The Fifth Report and Order (SCC 04-196) released Aug. 18, 2004) directed USAC to dismine any outstanding respects for furning appealments if an entity has not paid the outstanding debt, or made otherwise satisfactory assurpments within 10 days (see First Report and Order at 4 12). Therefore, persuent to the DIIA Order and the Fifth Report and Order, failure to make full payment or arrangements for payment within 30 days of the date of this letter may cause WAC to place you under the provisions of the Sad Light Role. For More information on the Red Light Rule, please see "Red Light Brequently Asked Quantions (PACS)" posted on the FCC walsite At helph://www.foc.gov/showilogedis/restlicht-frequently-absortementions. In Actormology whether an antisy is delinquent for purposes of the Sad Light nule, the Completion matches the PCC Registration Number of the applying onliny to its detabase of decis; the applicant's FCC sequences on Muches will be linked to all other PCC Registerion Rupber's associated with the same Turpayes Identification Mumber (TIM). To obtain an INM, ye to the registration with https://oppg.feri.gov/coresNab/ptiblizHome.ubi

### property of Impection and Feeles.

5. You have an apportunity to inspect and capy the invoices and the records pertinent to the debt. The Notification of Constinues Mijustment Letter constituted notice of your expectantly to Expect the validity of the debt. However, if you have evidence that all or part of the Debt has been paid and is no larger delinquent, you must present it to us within tilbume (Ib) days of the date of this Desard. If your debt is under appeal, either at BSAC or the FCC, you will not be transferred under the ICIA by the Treatmey for further collections antivities.

### Spoortunity to Request Repayment Agreement

E. You have an opportunity to request a written repayment syrpement (which tentwhen a Promingory Solar to pay the full amount of the amot. If due to Financial marginity you are madic to pay the full amount of the peak due involce in a lump num, you may ocated our Contoner Service Office to request an installment.

May A MES

weeks Joseph .

payment plan, which will require the payment of interest and execution of a promissory note. If you feil to pay the installment payment plan amount is full, plus extraod interest, penaltics, and administrative charges, beveral administrative or judicial actions may remain. First, your delinquent resount information will be reported to credit bureaux, your account will be subject to administrative action by the TCI and the Treasury, including administrative offset, dental of earthin tederal benefits, withholding of socian on any prodict application laws 47 C.F.R. & 1.1910 (Red hight)), and referral to private collection attendays. Next, we may refer the Circumstances of your delinquent deat may be transferred to either the Treasury for further administrative collection or the ECU of Exforcement Survey for further administrative collection or the ECU of Exforcement Survey for further administrative collection or the ECU of Exforcement and the Treasury for further administrative collection or the ECU of Experced collection.

To provide evidence that all or part of the beby has been paid or request an installment payment plan, you may restify us in writing by mail or faceimile transmission at the following address and takephone making:

Actions and Literation fragists
Correspondence Cort
30 Landow Plans West, 7.0. Box 685
Parsippent, NJ 67054-0885
Phone Number: 873-581-5595
For Number: 873-581-5595

If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the pervice provider are responsible for a program rule violation, then, pursuant to the Order on Remodulation and Powerh deposit and Diser (PC) 04-101) (Fourth Report and Order), USAC will seek recovery at the improperty dispurated amount from SUME parties and will continue to make recovery until atther or both parties have fully paid the door. If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the service provider are responsible for a program rule sicients, this will be indicated in the Fooding Committeent Adjustment Explanation on the funding Commitment Adjustment Report.

If USAC ld attempting to eclient all or part of the debt from both the applicant and the service provider, then you should wait with your service provider to determine who will be repaying the debt to avoid diplicate payment. Please oute, however, that the debt is the responsibility of both the applicant and service provider. Therefore, you are responsible for ensuring that the debt is paid in a timely manhor.

Please comit payment for the full "funds to be Recovered From Applicant" emount shows in the Report. To essure that your payment is properly credited, please technic a copy of the Report with your check. Make your check payable to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC).

One one of the appropriate addresses listed below to need payments to USAC.

### U.S. Postel Service and Standard Mail for Payments:

DCAC NO DOM 101036 Atlanta, CA 30348-5036

### Courier and Overnight Packages:

VSAC Lockhon 185856 1875 Loop Read Atlanta, CA 30337 (494) 209-6377

### ACS payments:

CAMC respects that all acm gayment be asst in GCDA formed to: ABA Pouring 1071000038, account \$358045455)

### FARMENT MUST BE BETTERFED INSCRIBITELY.

Complete progress information is posted to the SIF specime of the USAC web site at www.usac.org/si/. Top may also contact the SIF Technical Client Service Bureau by a mail using the "Submit a Occasion" link on the SIP web site, by fax at 1-986-303-5106. Contenting SIF for questions does not change the deadline for your response to this letter.

Universal Sprotes Administrative Company-Schools And Libratics Program

Sufficiency work for bound to be bridge benefits and their

THE RIGHT

AALUVEUU

### Punding Commitment Adjustment Paport for Form 471 Application Number: 404579

Conding Request Service:

1343601

Services Oblered:

BUT PAPE

141001362

Service Provider Marc:

Various New Jersey Inc.

Controct Sumber:

MIN.

Silling Account Number:

6596778101

Site Identifier:

17114

Original Funding Commitments

AGIT, CEG., CO

Commitment Adjustment Associt;

727, 750,00

lajusted funding Commitment:

80.00

Funds Claburned to later

427,000.00

funds to be Federered from Applicant:

#27,000.00

Pauding Cosmitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. Buring the course of a review, you were asked to provide intermition reparsing Martin Triedman and Aleman Computing and the roles he played at your school, including his role in the competitive bidding and weather selection process. You did not provide appointing regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman in your executivity bidding and render selection process. Therefore, USAC is upable to make a determination if your echool angaged in a fair and open competitive bidding process free from conflicts of interest. Matther the applicant not the applicants consultant should have a relationship with a service provider prior to the competitive bidding that would upfairly influence the extraors of a competition or would fornish the service provider with inside information or allow it to upfairly compete in any way. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinced in full and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds from the applicant.

### PLEASE SEND A COPY OF THIS PAGE WITH YOUR CHECK TO RESULE TIMELY PROCESSING

Aldrews and Library and Borrell Strawers

AWERD TO DEED

-84-14×405°F

Please note that if the Poids to be Recovered from the Applicant to less then What was reported on the Musification of Commissent Adjustment Letter of the let Gemmad Payment Letter, it is because you have partially repaid the whit or because the Service Publish has partially repaid the debt.

Catherine Manning
PREASONTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.G. Box 960
Pleasontville, NJ 06232-0960

o ins



### ANTONIO E ANTONIO IN CONTRACTORIO DE LA CONTRACTORI

( Funding Year 2005; July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006 )

September 18, 2017
Catherine Manning
PLUASABIVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.O. Bex 060
Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0960

## - PAST DUE NOTICE TRIS NOTICE PROVIDED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT AND YOUR RIGHTS AND CREATIONS UNDER LAN

Re: Form 471 Application Number:

485093

Funding Tour:

2005

Applicant's Form Identifier:

PSCYS.2 123165

Milled Entity Number: JCC Recistration Number:

0006516231

Contract Contract

143006553

Detrice Provider Name:

ellus technology. The.

Service Provider Contact Person:

Darren Priposi

Parment Due By:

9/18/2017

Tow work Providing Regions I Demand Payment Letter informing you of the need to recover funds for the Funding Regions Manuscrist (FRMs) listed on the Funding Commitment Adjustment Report (Report) attacked to this letter. Our records indicate that you have not responded to the Demand Regions Letter. As of 09/18/2017, the debt is 31-40 days past due. Demand you did not pay the full amount, it is past due (delications), and it is legally entorceable.

THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS CONTAIN INFESTANT INFORMATION AND A SESCRIPTION OF LEGAL RESULTS, ORLINATIONS, AND OPPORTUNITIES

- 1. Pursuant to the profitions of the field Collection Act of 1902 (Public Low 97-365) and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1995 (Public Low 104-136), as appealed (the DCIA), as set forth below, continued failure to make the dominded payment has resulted in the assessment of administrative charges, pobalties, and interest, and it may result in sanctions, including, but for limited to, assessment of administrative proceedings or judicial action to recover the constanding debt.
- 2. Unless we receive full payment, evidence that the debt is not owed, or a request for lastallment mayment plan for repoying the outstanding debt within 10 days of the date of this letter (Demand Date), pursuant to the DCIA, you may incur additional charges and costs, and the debt may be transferred to the Secretary of Treasury (Treasury) for debt collection. The FCC has determined that the function

Partinetella, miarti kaldanasi nes Likerajinap – Centanaizhendelistean (ind.). Al lisenistese bizanan densek, kosto kinn kiliko konunispeniasa (ind. iziniste einen d Centan (izi einel inn dake gidan dahad eripisa). count to the United States oursesst to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. # J701 and 47 U.S.C. # 358. Because the unpaid occurt is a debt ower to the United States, we are required by the DUIA to impose interest and to infere you what may happen if you do not pay the full outstanding debt. Under the DUIA, the United States will charge interest from the date of this notice, and you will be required to pay the administrative costs of prospector and rendling a delinquent debt as set by the Treasury. The Treasury will impose additional charges (currently 28% of the Gebt. Additional Information segrating the SCIR is available at 31 2.5.2. % 3781, 3711, 3716, 3717 and 37205, the reserval Cisims Collection Standards (e.g. 31 C.F.S. § 3900.1. at Seq.) and 47 C.F.E. § 3.1801, at sec.

- 1. Please be advised that when the debt is Classfarred, you may be embject to an administrative proceeding if one has not already been instincted (see 47 C.F.R. 5 1.1910). For example, information about your delinquent account has been or will be apported to credit bureaus (see it U.S.C. 5 37110). Moreover, under it U.S.C. 5 37120, a person of any be applied (see it U.S.C. 5 2710). Moreover, under it U.S.C. 5 37120, a person owing an outstanding non tax debt that is in delinquent status that not be miligible for rederal filesonial assistance. Furthernore, the Debt bay be referred to the United States Department of Justice (DUJ) for sufcreed Collection, which may result in additional administrative costs. Separately, we may also Justice oction by the Complement of Enforcement Enreal.
- 4. Pursuant to the Commission's DULL Order (FCC 04-72, released Apr. 13, 2004), the PCC will withheid action on any application or request for beomfits and by an entity that is delimpost in its nam-tax debts seed to the Commission and shall dismins such applications or requests if the delinquent debt is not received. Commission has generally referred to this as the "Red bight Bule". This rule applies to the Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Machaelam. The Fifth Report and Order (FCC 04-190, released Aug. 12, 2004) directed USAC to disaise any obtaineding requests for funding construents if as entity has not paid the outstanding debt, or sude miscraics satisfactory accomposates within in days Live Clith Report and Order at 1 42). Therefore, pursules to the DCIA Order and the Fifth Report and Onion, fallers to make full payment or arrangements for payment within 30 days of the date of this letter may cause UEAC to place you under the provisions of the Bod Light Rule. For more information on the hed light Bale, please one "Ned Light Emperity hand Questions (SACs)" poured on the Fut website at Allphi (was fig. proventy) to the light - Erropent J. Matri- upon tions in determining whether an entity is delinquent for purposes of the med Light Hule, the Commission matched the FCC Registration Manhor of the applying entity to its database of debis: the applicant's FCT Registration Number will be linked to all other MC Dequetration Combon's correlated with the Same Taxpayer identification Master (TIM). To obtain an FMM, go to the registration situ Micros //spps.foc.quv/corneNeh/mdd.ir#eme.do

### Opportunity of Inspection her Period

5. You have an apportunity to impact and copy the involves and the records portional to the debt. The Notification of Commitment Adjuntment Letter constituted notice of your especiality to appeal the validity of the debt. However, if you have avidence that all or part of the Lebt has been paid and is no length delimpace, you must present it to be within different (list days of the date of this Demand. If your debt is ender appeal, either at PSEC or the FCC, you will not be transferred under the DCIM to the Transfer collections activities.

### Opportunity to Request Supageant Agreement

6. You have an opportunity to tequest a written repayment agreement, (which includes a Promiseory Note) to pay the full amount of the debt. If the to financial marginity you are enable to pay the full amount of the past due invoice in a lamp sue, you may contact our Customer Service Office to request an installment

Adheretie exit billioner leveler and relay free

Par Par s

##/14/anix!

payment Dian, which will require the payment of interest and emetation of a prominency note. If you fail to pay the installment payment plan amount in full, plan accrued interest, penalties, and administrative charges, several administrative of judicial actions may result. First, your relinquent account information will be reported to credit bureing, your account will be subject to administrative action by the FCL and the Executy, including administrative offset, denial of certain federal benefits, withholding of action on any panding application (see t7 C.F.H. 5 1.1918 [Bed Light)), and referral to private collection attorneys. Next, we may refer the circumstances of your delimpayment to the FCC's Enforcement Bureau for farther applications. Finally, your adlimpancy to the FCC's Enforcement Bureau for farther further administrative collection or the DKK for enforced collection.

To provide evidence that all or part of the Debt has been paid or respect an installment payment plan, you may notify us in writing by mail or faceimile transmission at the following address and twisphone number:

Schools and Libraries Program-Correspondence Unit 30 Lanidex Flace West, J.C. Edw 685 Parsippany, NJ 97034-0685 Pane Number: 873-381-5385 Fax Number: 973-598-6582

If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the persion provider are responsible for a program rule violation, then, personn, to the Order on Seconsideration and Fourth Report and Order), USAC will sent recovery of the improperly disbursed amount from SSTM parties and will continue to seek recovery batil either or both parties have fully paid the dast. If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the service provider are responsible for a program rule siciation, this will be indicated in the rending Consitrent Adjustment Explanation on the FRANCING Consitment Adjustment Report.

If MMAG is attempting to collect all or part of the debt from both the applicant and the sarvice provider, then you should writ with your service provider to determine who will be repaying the debt to avoid duplicate payment. Please note, however, that the debt is the responsibility of both the applicant and service provider. Therefore, you are responsible for ensuring that the debt is paid in a timely manner.

Please resix payment for the full "Yands to be Secovered from Applicant" among shown in the Report. To ensure that your payment is properly predited, please include a copy of the Report with your check. Make your check payable to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC).

Use one of the appropriate addresses listed below to send payments to USAC.

### U.J. Postal Service and Standard Mail for Payments:

UGAC PO ROX 105856 Milanta, GA 10346+5856

### Couries and Overnight Packages:

OBAC Lockbox 105656 1075 Loop Road Atlante, GA 30337 (482) 209-6377

### ME payments:

USAC requests that all ach peyment be next to CCD+ format to: A06 Routing #071000039, Account #5590045653

### PATHENT HUST BE DETURNED INHEDIATELY.

Complete program information is posted to the Str section of the USAC web site at www.upsc.org/sl/. You may also contact the SLV Technical Client Service Educate by e-mail poing the "Submit a Coention" link on the SLV web site, by fax at 1-888-776-8718 or by phose at 1-888-793-8108. Contacting SLV for questions does not change the deadline for your response to this Letter.

Chiverell Corvice Administrative Coopery Schools and Libraries Program

### 1487 TM 22

### Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for Form 471 Application Number: 485093

Ecoding Request Namez:

1345791

Scrylein Gedered:

THE ALL CONSTRUCTION

COTE

143066513

Service Provider Name;

effus Technology, Inc.

Contract Number:

W/A

Billing Account Number:

000

Site Identifier:

1997

Original Funding Commitment:

\$266,380.47

Caeuni tabeme Ad (un tarant Amouni):

\$266,JA0.47

Adjusted Publishy Commitment:

80.08

Punde Diskurses to Oake:

6237,411.69

Funds to be Recovered from Applicant:

5237,411,60

Sanding Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a Morrough investigation, is has been determined that this funding constituent must be rescimied in full. During the course of a review, you were asked to provide information reporting birtin Friedman and Aleman Computiting and the roles be played at your achoof, including his role in the compositive bidding and weather selection process. You did not provide specifics regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman in your competitive hidding and weather telection process. Therefore, USAC is unable to make a determination if your school empaged in a fair and open competitive hidding process from conflicts of interest. Neither the applicant nor the applicants consultant should have a relationship with a service provides prior to the competitive bidding that would unfairly influence the outcome of a competition or would furnish the source provides with inside of allow it to unfairly compete it any way. Therefore, the commitment has been vescinded in full and USAC will neek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds from the applicant.

### FLEASE SEAD A CUFY OF THIS PAGE WITH YOUR COURSE TO EXSURE THOSEY PROFESSION

Primage note that if the Panda to be Resovered from the Applicant is less than what was reported on the Motification of Commitment Adjustment Letter or the ist Demand Payment Letter, it is because you have partially repaid the acht or because the Service Gravitee has partially repaid the debt.

Bulliables and Librar box decrees which the

r progress of the control of

- mp, tødádzi

Catherine Misning
PLEASINTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.S. Box 960
Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0960

19



### Demand Payment Letter SECOND REQUEST

( Tunding Year 2005: July 1, 2005 - June 20, 2006 )

Saptember 18, 2017 Cethories Macning PISASASTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. BON 960 Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0360

# - PAST DUE MOTICE \* THIS MOTICE PROVIDES IMPORTANT INFORMATION AMOUT YOUR ACCOUNT AND YOUR RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER LAW

Ber Form 471 Application Mumber:

Punding Tears

Appilcani's Torm Identifier:

Billed Entity Mumber:

FCC Registration Number:

ueln:

Service Provider Name:

Service Provider Contact Person:

Partiet Due By!

465093 2005

PSDY#, # 123365

0006536331

143008185

Contec Systems, Inc. Michael Vertolli

9/18/2017

You were exceptly sent a Demand Sayment Lotter informing you of the peed to recover funder for the Funding Request Rember(s) (FREE) linked on the Funding Commitment adjustment Report (Report) attached to this letter. Our records indicate that you have not responded to the Demand Payment Letter. As at 09/18/2017, the debt is 31-60 days past doe. Records you did not pay the fail assumt, it is peet due (delinquent), and it is legally safarceands.

THE POLICING PROVISIONS CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF LEGAL PROGRESS, UNLIGHTIONS, AND OPPORTUNITIES

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of the Leit Collection Act of 1982 (Public Low 97-365) and the Dert Collection improvement Act of 1987 (Public Low 188-134), as amended the Dili), as est forth below, continued tailure to make the demanded payment has resulted in the personnent of administrative charges, penalties, and interest, and it say result in sunctions, including, but set limited to, administrative proceedings or judicial action to recover the outstanding debt.
- 2. Unless we receive full payment, evidence that the debt is not owed, or a request for installment payment plan for repaying the outstanding mebt within 30 days of the date of this laster (Desand Data), pursuent to the PCIA, you may induce abilitional charges and boots, and the dobt may be transferred to the Secretary of Transcry (Frequery) for data collection. The FC has determined that the Tunds are

Heringerin mark makendar kund stander und Alexandrian Abertalepitan Abelit. Die Kantinge bliebe Weidel D. E. Brien both, beder beginnige als diebeigestelle. Abelit die andere bei beiter beiter beiter beiter bestelle bestellt. owed to the United States parawant to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 5 2701 and 47 U.S.C. 5 274. Secause the paraid ascent is a debt owed to the United States, we are required by the DCIA to impose interest and to intere you what may happen if you do not pay the full nonstanding debt. Under the DCIA, the United States will charge interest face the date of this potice, and you will be required to pay the administrative costs of proceeding and bandling a delinquent debt as set by the Transcovy. The Pressury will impose additional charges occurrently 28% of the debt). Additional information regarding the DCIA to available at 11 E.S.C. 65 3703, 3711, 3715, 3717 and 37208, the Federal Claims Collection Standards (e.g. 31 C.F.R. 5 300.1, at seq.) and 47 C.F.R. 5 1.1901, at seq.)

- 3. Please by soviced that when the debt is transferred, you may be embject to an administrative proceeding if was has not already been initiated (see 47 C.F.R. 5 1.1910). For example, information about your delinquent decount has been or will be reported to credit bereads (see 31 U.S.C. 5 3711(a)) and administrative offset has been or pay be applied (see 31 U.S.C. 5 3716). Moreover, under 11 U.S.C. 5 3710B, a parace owing as outstanding non-tax debt that is in colinquent statue shall not be eligible for Federal fibracial assistance. Furtherness, the Bobt may referred to the United Suprace Department of Juntice (1864) for enforced collection, which may result in additional administrative costs. Separately, we may also request action by the Commission's information over the parameters.
- 4. Furguant to the Commission's DCIA Driver (ECC 01-12, released Apr. 13, 2004), the FXC will withhold action on any application or request for benefits made by an entity that is delinquent in its non-tax debin oved to the Cormission and whall dismiss such applications or remobsts if the delinquent debt is not resolved. The Commission has generally referred to this as the "Res Light Rule". This rais applies to the Schools and Loraries Universal Service Ropput Machanism. The Fifth Report and Order (\$00.04-180, released buy, 13, 2004) directed USAC to dismiss any optotocoling requests for funding consiterate if an entity has not paid the outstanding debt, or made appearable satisfactory arrangements within 30 days (See Fifth Separa and Order at 4 42). Therefore, pursuant to the OCIA Order and the Fifth Report and dedor, failure to make full payment or arrangements for payment within 30 days of the date of this letter may cause (NAC to place you under the provisions of the Red Light Sule. For more information on the hea light Male. places see "Red Light Frequently Asked Coastlans (File)" posies on the FCC website ot https://www.fcc.gow/encyclonesis/fei-frent-frequently-stard-creations. In determining whether he selicy is delinquent for perposes of the sed light Gule, the Complebing Matches the FCC Sequetration Number of the applying entity to Its database of debts; the applicant's PCC Registration Bunder will be linked to all other VCC Registration Number's assertated with the state Taxpayor Identification Anmber (TIN). In obtain on tile, go to the registration alto https://emig.fee.gov/ceised@h/pdilic@ome.de

### Operationity of Thepethies and Terries.

5. You have all opportunity to inspect and copy the involver and the records portional to the debt. The Notification of Commitment Adjustment Latter constituted notine of your opportunity to appeal the validity of the debt. However, if you have evidence that all or past of the Debt has been paid and is so is no import delinquent, you must present it to un within fifteen (18) days of the date of this Demand, If your cent is under appeal, either at MEAC or the PAC, you will not be transferred under the DCIA to the Transmity for further collections activities.

#### Omportunity to Request Repayment Agreement

6. You have an opportunity to request a willten repayment egreement (which includes a Promissory Note) to pay the full amount of the dake. It doe to financial hardening you are maddle to pay the full amount of the past due invokee in a lump sum, you may contact our Customer Service Office to request an installment.

Schools and Labour Dec Tenjenn Time Act

7444 2 61 V

division to

payment plan, which will require the payment of interest and execution of a promissory note. If you fail to pay the installment payment olds account in full, plus account interest, populties, and assistentive charges, several administrative or judicial actions may result. Pirst, goat delinquent account information will be reported to credit bureaus, your account will be subject to educationative action by the FCC and the Treasury, including administrative offset, donial of certain federal benefits, withholding of action on any pending application (see 47 C.F.R. 5 1.1910 [Red Light)), and referral to private collection actornays. Burst, we may refer the circumstances of your delinquency to the FCC's inforcement bursts for further action. Finally, your delinquent dept may be transferred to either the Treasury for Curther actionistrative pollection or the FCC's reference collection.

To provide evidence that All or port of the best has been paid or request an installment payment plan. You may notify us in emiting by mail or faculmile transmission at the following address and telephone number:

Schools vin Libraries Program-Correspondence Unit 10 Lanidex Plate Mast, 7.0. Now 505 Parsippany, MJ 07026-0885 Phone Number: 973-581-5395 Fax Number: 973-589-6582

If 1900 has described that both the applicant and the envice previder are responsible for a program rule violation, then, pursuant to the Order on Accomsideration and Tourth Report and Order). Other will seek recovery of the improperly distorted amount from BOTH parties and will continue to seek recovery until either as both parties have fully paid the date. If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the service provider are responsible for a program rule violation, this will be indicated in the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation on the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation on the Funding Commitment Adjustment Report.

If USAC is attempting to collect all or part of the debt from both the applicant and the service provider, then you should work with your service provider to determine she will be repaying the debt in accid deplicate payment. Please note, however, that the debt is the seaponsibility of both the applicant and service provider. Therefore, you are responsible for especing that the debt is paid in a timely manner.

Disagre resit payment for the full "Munds to be Escovered from Applicate" amount shown in the Esport. To ensure that your payment is properly greated, picases include a copy of the Esport with your check. Make your check payable to the Solversal Service Administrative Company (OSAC)

tige one of the appropriate addresses listed bulce to mead payments to USAC.

### U.S. Poetal Service and Standard Mail For Payments:

USAG. PO Now IOSOSE Atlanta, CA 20228-5056

### Courier and Oversight Packages:

USAS Lockbor 165056 1075 Lock Road Ablante, GA 30337 (#04) 209-6337

#### ACI PAYMADES.

NGAC requests that all ACM payment be sont is CCD+ format to: ADA Program #071090039, Account \$559004865)

### PAYMENT MUST BY AFTUNNED IMMEDIATELY.

Complete program information is posted to the SLF section of the USAC web site at wew.user.org/el/. You may also contact the SLF Technical Client Service Bureau by e-mail using the "Swimit a Question" link on the SLF web site, by tak at 1-888-276-8736 or by phone at 1-888-263-8100. Contacting MIP les questions down not change the deadline for your response to this Letter.

Universal Berwine Administrative Company Schools and Libraries Program

. Same and the second of the

am/lacions

### Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for Form 471 Application Number: 485893

Canding Request Status:

1344965

Services Orderedi

INCHES, COMPUTERS MOT

SFIDE

(Athrese:

Service Provider Name:

Contee Bystems, Lic

Contract Sunbert

M.A.

Billing Account Nucher:

D2D

Alto Identifier:

137505

Original Funding Commitment:

ga. Bno an

Commitment Adjustment America

67,200,00

Adjusted Yunding Countiness:

40.00

Funds Disturned to Depot

#7,200,00

Funds to be Recovered from Applicant:

87,200,00

Randing Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a therough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be received in full. During the course of a review, you were asked to provide information reparding Hartin Felomens and Aleman Compulting and the roles to played at your school, including his role in the competitive bidding and wender selection process. You did not provide specific regarding the role played by Mr. Friedman in your compatitive hidding and wender nelection process. Therefore, USAL is minute to make a determination if your school organes in a fair and open competitive bidding process from from conflicts of interest. Neither the applicant nor the applicants econolitate should have a relationship with a sowice provider prior to the competitive hidding that sould unfairly inclusive the cuttoms of a competition or would liming that sould unfairly inclusive the cuttoms of a competition of would liming that sould unfairly inclusive the inside of a competition of would liming that sould unfairly inclusive the commitment has been reactioned in full and JEAC will neek recovery of any improperly distance funds from the applicant.

### PLEASE SEND A COPY OF TRIS EASE WITH YOUR CHECK TO EMPOURE TIMELY PROCEEDING

Please note that if the Funds to be Recovered from the Applicant is less than what was reported on the Motification of Commitment Adjustment Letter at the lat because Fayment Letter, it is because you have partially repaid the debt or because the Service Provider has partially repaid the debt.

Actes from any to be explosed to be and time to the

Could be did

eath a least

Banding Request History

1345468

Berykska Ordared:

INTERNAL CONSECTIONS

SP1M:

143060385

Sarvien Produces Tomes

Commor Systems, Iso

Contract Bumbace

ti/A

Hilling Resource Medicin:

bet in

wite Identifier:

123365

Original Punding Commitments

\$36,174.80

Commission Adjustment Assusti

934,174,00

Adjusted Funding Commitment:

50.00

Ponde Disbursed to Date:

\$34,174,80

Your to be becovered from Applicanti

534,17A.00

Panding Countinous Adjustment Explanditions

After a thereson investigation, it has been determined that this funding econsistent must be reacleded is fail. Suring the coarse of a review, you were asked to provide information requiring Eartin Friedman and Alexas Consulting and the roles he played at your achool, including his role in the respectitive bidding and vendor selection process. You did not provide specifics regarding the tale played by Mr. Friedman in your competitive bidding and would belocated process. Thusefore, USAC is unable to make a determination if your school engaged in a fair and open competitive bidding process free from conflicts of interest. Neither the explicant mor the applicants exception exception that should have a relationship with a service provider prior to the competitive midding that sends unfairly influence the outcome of a competition or bould farmion that nearly provided with Inable information or allow it to unfairly compete in any way. Therefore, the commitment has been reacined in Cull and USAC will once rebessery of any improperly distanced funds from the arcticant.

### PLEASE SEND A COMY OF SHIP PASSE WITH TODE CHECK TO ENGURE TIMELY PROCESSING

Iplease note that if the Funds is be Decovered from the Applicant is less than what was reported on the Motification of Commitment Adjustment Letter or the let Demand Payment Letter, it is because you have partially repaid the debt or because the Dervice Provider had partially repaid the debt.

Giften in and Minimaker Personalization.

THE RESIDEN

BOCCONT TO IT

Paratira Romania Mardines

1345358

Service: Osterod:

INTERNAL COMMICTIONS MAT

COIN:

143008185

Service Provider Name:

Constitut Againment, Inc

Contract Sumber:

MA

Billing Account Withort

DO IS

Site Identifiet:

123365

Original Perding Committeett

546,080.00

Complement Adjustment Amounts

\$16,0KG.00

Anticated Panuline Committee of

90.00

Funds Distorsed to Date:

546,060.00

Punds to be occurred from Applicant:

bed. Oak. Da

Familie Consissed Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be reactioned in full. During the course of a review, you were asked to provide information requiring Martin Friedman and Alemar Consulting and the release to Diayon at your scinool, including his role in the despetitive bidding and weather selection process. Too did not provide appointing the role played by Mr. Pricedman in your competitive midding and mender selection process. Therefore, Diaz is unable to make a determination if your scinoi engaged in a fair and open competitive bidding process free from conflicts of interest. Nations the applicant set the applicants consultant should have a relationship with a service provider prior to the competitive bidding that would unfairly infillusors the contons of a computation or would fund the service provides with indice information or allow it to unfairly compute in any way. Therefore, the commitment has been reaccinded in bull and Wild will need recovery of top improperly distances that from the applicant.

### POEMSE SEND A COPY OF THIS PAGE WITH YOUR CHECK TO KNOW IN THEOLY PROCESSING

I please note that if the Funds to be Recovered from the Applicant is less than what were reported on the Matification of Commitment Adjustment Letter or the let Desard Paymont Letter, it is because you have partially repaid the debt or because the Service Provider has partially repaid the debt.

Legacia and libraries arose metodol sin

rang 7 si 1

amirtin 20:7

Catherine Manning
PLEASAMTVINLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
P.O. Box 940
Pleasantville, NJ 06232-0960

27



#### Demand Payment Letter SECOND FROMES

( Funding Year 2005; July 1, 2005 - June 10, 2006 |

Sactambar 18, 2017 Catharine Manning PLEASANTVICLE SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. Base Det Pleasantville, NJ 08232-0960

### - Vast dur Mozice -

THIS HOTICE PROVIDES IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT AND YOUR RICHTS AND CRITICATIONS UNDER LAW

Ret Form 471 Application Number:

465454

Punding Year:

2005 PSOTO 3

Applicant's Form Identifier: Billed Entity Sumber:

123365

VCC Registration Number:

0006516231

SPIN:

143010147

Service Provider Name: Dervice Provider Contact Person: Mel Communications, Inc. John Waring

9/18/2017

Payment Due By:

You were recently sent a Demand Payment Estimating you of the heed to return funds for the Funding Request Number(s) (File) listed on the Funding Commitment. Adjustment Report (Report) attached to thin inties: Our expords indicate that you have not responded to the Demand Poyment Letter. As of 09/18/2017, the debt is 31-60 days past due. Receuse you did not pay the full amount, it is past non idelinguent), and it is logally enforceable.

THE PULLOWING PROVISIONS CONTAIN IMPORTANT PROPERTIES AND A RESCRIPTION OF LEGAL BUSINESS, OR LOWER DAYS, AND OPPOSITE THE

- Pursuant to the previouse of the Dath Collection Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-3651 and the Deby Collection impresents test of 1996 (Bubic Tow 194-194), we amended (the DCTA), as set forth below, continued failure to make the Commanded payment has resulted in the assessment of administrative charges, possition, and interest, and it may result in asherious, including, but not limited to. administrative propositions or testicial applica is recover the separating debt.
- 2. Unless we receive full payment, evidence that the debt is not owed, or a request for installment payment plan for repaying the oristanding debt within 30 days of the date of this letter (Demand Date), persuant to the DCIA, you may incur additional charges and costs, and the demands may be transferred to the Secretary of Treasury (Treasury) for debt collection. The FCC has determined that the fable are

Complex plat lithered in Arrestade - Toxar Manufeston Will. in Landon, Place Ser. F.C. For No. Parengery to Costs-2232 Their are entire at any one, are all

- cowed to the United States personant to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. § 3701 and 47 U.S.C. § 154. Because the unperid ascent is a debt cowed to the United States, we are required by the UCLA to impose interest and to inferm you what may happen if you do not juy the full notatesting debt. Some the DCLA, the United States will therefore from the date of this notion, and you will be required to pay the elaborative costs of processing and handling a delinguant debt so not by the Transmy. The Transmy will impose additional charges (eartenly 18% of the debt). Additional information regarding the OCLA is available at 31 U.S.C. 55 3701, 3711, 3716, 3717 and 37208, the rederni Claims Collection Deadsards (e.g. 31 C.F.R. § 3708.1, at pag.) and 47 C.F.R. § 3.1901, et pag.
  - 3. Please be advised that when the debt is transferred, you may be empired to an administrative proceeding if one has not already been initiated (see 17 C.F.R. 5 i.1918). For example, information about your delinquent account has been or will be reported to credit bareaus (see 31 D.S.C. 5 3711(e)) and administrative offset has been or may be applied (see 11 D.S.C. 5 3718). Margover, under 11 D.S.C. 5 37208, a person owing an autotraffing non-tax debt that is in delinquent etatur shall not be eligible for Federal filmancial assistance. Fortherwise, the Debt may be referred to the United States Department of Justice 1903) for informed collection, which may result in additional administrative costs. Reparately, we may also request action by the Coominister's Enforcement States.
  - 4. Pursuant to the Commington's DCTA Order (FCC 04-72, released Apr. 13, 2004), the FCC will withhold action on any application or request for benefits made by an omtity that is deliberest in its non-tex desta cand to the Commission and small dismins much applicatives of respects if the delinquent ment is not resolved. Commission has generally returned to this as the "Red Light Rule". This rule applies to the Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism. The Fifth Report and Order OTC 04-190, released Aug. 13, 2004) directed Disc to dismiss any outstanding requests for funding constinents if an entity has not paid the outstanding debt, or made otherwise satisfactory arrangements within 10 days (See Fifth Report and Order et 1 43). Therefore, purished to the DCIA Order and the Fifth Report and Order, failure to make full payment or arrangements for payment within 10 days of the date of this letter may cause \$550 to plope you under the provisions of the Red Light Rule. For more information on the hed Light Rule, please see "Red Light Proquently Asked Goverions (FAGE)" posted on the PCC website at https://www.foc.gov/encyclopedia/syd-light-frequently-asked-spections. In determining whether an entity is delinquent for purposes of the Sen Light Suie, the Commission matches the DCC Maganization Number of the applying entity to its detabase of dabies; the applicant's FFC Registration Number will be linked to vil other PCC Registration Number's assessment with the same Texperer Identification Musher (716), To obtain on 200, go to the registration site https://apps.for.gov/coresMeb/pakliciore.do

### Opportunity of Inspection and Review

5. You have an opportunity to inspect and copy the abvaices and the records pertinent to the debt. The Notification of Commissions halfustoens Letter constitutes notice of your opportunity to opposi the validity of the debt. Remover, If you have evidence that all of part of the Lebs has been paid and is no issuer delinquent, you must present it to be within faffered (15) days of the date of this Demand. If your debt in order appeals, either at USAC or the PCC, you will be transferred under the DCIA to the Transcry for Further oclinations activities.

### Opportunity to sequent sepayment Agreement

6. Too have an opportunity to request a written repayment agreement (which includes a Frankagery Note: to pay the fall segmen of the delet. If due to Cinoncial hardwhip you are unable to pay the full amount of the past due invoice in a lump aum, you may contact our fustomer Service Office to request an installment.

Sections to west afternor their transparent blocks. Block

P144111 28

payment plas, which will require the payment of interest and exerction of a prominency note. If you fail to pay the installment payment plan amount in Tali, plus accorded interest, possibles, and administrative charges, several equipistrative or judicial actions may result. First, your melinguest account information will be reported to credit horsest, your appeared will be subject to acministrative action by the FCC and the Treasury, laminding administrative offset, desired of certain federal baseful, withholding of action on any pending application [see 47 C.F.R. 5 1.1910 (Red Light)), and referral to private collection according. Neaf, we say refer the disconstances of your delingment to the FCC's perocessors foreign for further action. Finally, your delingment deat may be transferred to either the Treasury for further administrative collection or the FCC's perocessor collection.

To provide evidence that all or part of the bebt has been poid or request on installment payment plan, you may notify an is writing by mail or faceinile transmission at the full-wind address and telephone number:

Sciencia and Libraries Fregram-Correspondence Unit 30 Ignises Plana Wast, F.O. Box CSS Pareippasy, NJ 07054-2665 Phose Dimber: 973-583-5835 Fax Surber: 973-589-6582

If DEAC has determined that both the applicant and the service provider are responsible for a progress rule violation, there, pursuant to the Order on Reconsideration and Fourth Report and Order (FOR 94-181) (Pourth Report and Order), USAC will neak responsive of the improperty distaired abount from BOTH parties and will continue to seek recovery until either or both parties have fully paid the debt. If USAC has determined that both the applicant and the service provider are responsible for a program sule violation, this will be indicated in the Fracting Conditions Adjustment Explanation on the Funding Conditions Adjustment Report.

If pead is attempting to collect all of part of the debt from both the applicant and the service provider, then you should work with your solvice provider to determine who will be repaying the debt to avoid dislicate payment. Please dote, besever, that the debt is the responsibility of both the applicant and service provider. Therefore, you are responsible for ensuring that the debt is paid in a timely manner.

Please result payment for the full "runds to be Decreved From Applicant" amount above in the Report. To ensure that your payment is properly credited, please include a copy of the Report with your check. Bake your check payable to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC):

use one of the appropriate addresses listed below to send payments to USAC.

#### U.S. Postal Service and Standard Mail for Voyments:

DSAC PO Box 105086 Atlanta, GA JUJ48-2256

#### Coxxier and Overnight Packages:

USAC Lockbox 165056 1975 Loop Road Atlants, CA 30537 (404) 509-6377

### ACM payments:

DOME requests that all SCU payment to seem in CCD+ format to: ABA Posting #077009035, Account #5550045653

### PAYMENT MUST BE RECURNED IMMEDIATELY.

Complete program informative is posted to the SLP section of the USAC web site at wew user.org/el/. You may size contact the SLP Technical Cliest Service Bareau by e-mail using the "Sebmit a Question" link on the SLP web size, by fax at 1-mes-276-8776 us by phone at 1-mes-203-8190. Contacting SLP for questions does not change the deadline for your response to this letter.

Universal Service Administrative Company Schools and Libraries Program

Actually and biference Timespective Chi-

BOX TUNKS

### Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for Form 471 Application Number: 285464

Punding Request Number:

1346262

Parvicus Grancadi

TALESMO LEMPTON

SPINE

143610147

Service Provider Dame:

Wel Communications, Inc.

Contract Kumbers

M. 20

Dilling Account Assistant

6093936866 - Local

5110 Toout Fiers

173006

Original Funding Commitment;

- \$15, L10, 00

Commitment Adjustment amount:

455,176.00

Minried Funding Commitments

\$4.00

Fonds District to Date:

018,206.62

Funds to be Recovered from Applicant:

\$10,206,69

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a therough indestigation, it has tweet determined that this funding commitment sust be rescipted in full. Suring the course of a review, you ware paken to provide information regarding Harring the course and Alemar Consulting and the relative belowing his role in the computative cliding and vendor selection process. You did not provide specifics reporting the role played by Mr. Pristmen in your compactive bidding and vendor selection process. Therefore, USAL is enable to make a determination if your school engaged in a fair and tree competitive bidding process from conflicts of interest. Notices the applicant for the applicants consultant about the applicants consultant about the applicant to the applicants or would formish the service provider with Inside Information or allow it to we fairly compate in any say. Therefore, the cosmitment has been reactioned in full and USAC will need recovery or any improperly disherred femals from the applicant.

### PLEASE SEED & COPY OF THIS PAGE NETS YOUR CHECK TO EMBROR TIMELY PROTESTING

I Places note that if the funds to be Recommend from the Applicant Is less than what was reported on the Soulflowtion of Commission Adjuntment Letter or the let because You have partially repaid the dott or because the Service Provider non-partially repaid the dott.

arberelis des Libraries Bergaran duise Pri

Parget to Jan. 6

industrial comments.

Found and Descriptor: Murabanes

Services Ordered:

1387367

TRICKOM SKRVICKE

SPINE

143018247

Service Provides Name:

Red Countainerioes, Inc.

Contract Embers

Billing Account Number:

6093936800 - to

Site Scancifier:

123365

Criminal Yundian Commitmets

\$15.120.00

Commitment Advantment America.

\$15,120.00

Adjusted Funding Commitment:

30.00

Fundo Disburnes Lo Dates

\$6.175.07

Fueds to by Recovered from Septions:

66,175.07

Punding Cosmitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be reactined in full. Daring the overse of a review, yet were asked to provide information regarding Martin Friedman and Alexar Computing and the roles be played at your armsol, including his role in the competitive bidding and ventur selection process. You did not provide specifics regarding the tole played by Mr. Friedman in your competitive bidding and ventur selection process. Therefore, USAS is anable to make a determination if your school engaged in a fair and upon exemention bisining process tree time conflicts of interest. Melther the applicant but the applicants concultant should have a relationship Will a acrice. According to the competitive aidding that acous unfairly inclusion the ostome of a competition or would furnish the assules provider with inside information of allow it to unfairly compete in any way. Therefore, the commitment has been resciseed in full and UGAC will need recovery of any improperty dispersed facile from the applicant.

#### PLEASE SEND A COPY OF THIS PAGE WITH YOUR CONCOL TO SCHOOLSE TIMELY PROCESSIONS

I Divage note that if the Funds to be Rompoured from the Applicant is less than what was reported no the Dotification of Compilment Adjustment letter or the let Dewand Payment Latter, it is because you have partially repaid the debt or because the Service Provider ham partially reguld the debt.

Territor near afficient from the commentation was

48621463033



### FUNDING COMMITMENT DECISION LETTER SUPPLEMENT

Thank you for your Funding Year 2017 application for the Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Program (E-rate) and for any assistance you provided throughout our review. The current funding statuses of the FCC Form 471 funding requests are included in the News post in your E-rate Productivity Center (EPC) account.

The Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) is sending this information to both the applicant(s) and the service provider(s) so that you can work together to implement the approved discount(s) after the applicant files the FCC Form 486, Receipt of Service Confirmation and Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) requirements.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Applicants and service providers should work together to determine if bills will be discounted or if the applicant will request reimbursement from USAC after paying their bills in full. Applicants should then:

- Review CIPA requirements.
- File the FCC Form 486.

Once the FCC Form 486 has been filed, invoice USAC using the FCC Form 472, Billed Entity Applicant Reimbursement (BEAR) Form, as products and services are being delivered and billed. If you have opted to pay only your portion of the cost of the eligible services, then the service provider must file an FCC Form 474, Service Provider Invoice (SPI) Form, to receive reimbursement from USAC.

### TO APPEAL THIS DECISION

If you wish to appeal a decision in this letter to USAC, your appeal must be filed within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this deadline will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. All appeals must be filed in EPC by selecting "Appeal" from the menu in the top right hand corner of your landing page and providing the requested information.

Your appeal should include the following information. Because you file the appeal through your EPC account, the system will automatically add much of the following identifying information for you.

- 1) Name, address, telephone number, and email address for the contact person for this appeal.
- 2) State outright that your letter is an appeal. Include the following to identify the USAC decision letter (e.g., FCDL) and the decision you are appealing:
  - a. Appellant name,
  - b. Applicant name and service provider name, if different from appellant,
  - c. Applicant BEN and Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN)
  - d. FCC Form 471 Application Number and the Funding Request Number (FRN) or Numbers as assigned by USAC,
  - e. "Funding Commitment Decision Letter for Funding Year 2017," AND the exact text or the decision that you are appealing.



- 3) Please keep your appeal to the point, and provide supporting documentation. Be sure to keep a copy of your entire appeal, including any correspondence and documentation. A copy will automatically be saved for you in EPC.
- 4) If you are the applicant, please provide a copy of your appeal to the service provider(s) affected by USAC's decision.

If you are the service provider, please provide a copy of your appeal to the applicant(s) affected by your decision. USAC will reply to your appeal submissions to confirm receipt.

For more information on submitting an appeal to USAC including step by step instructions on how to file the appeal through EPC, please see "Appeals" in the Schools and Libraries section of the USAC website.

Please remember that waivers of an official E-rate rule can only be sought at the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), not USAC. Conversely, the FCC will not accept appeals of USAC decisions that have not first been appealed to USAC. See 47 C.F.R. § 54.719.

### **OBLIGATION TO PAY NON-DISCOUNT PORTION**

Applicants are required to pay the non-discount portion of the cost of the products and/or services to their service provider(s). Service providers are required to bill applicants for the non-discount portion. The FCC stated that requiring applicants to pay their share ensures efficiency and accountability in the program. If USAC is being billed via the FCC Form 474, the service provider must first bill the applicant before it bills USAC. If USAC is being billed via the FCC Form 472 (BEAR), the applicant pays the service provider in full (the non-discount plus discount portion) and then seeks reimbursement from USAC. Note that starting on July 1, 2017, applicants submitting BEARs will be reimbursed directly by USAC. If you anticipate, for any reason, that you or your service provider cannot file an invoice on time, a one-time 120 invoice deadline extension will be granted to extension requests receive on or before the last date to invoice. If you are using a trade-in as part of your non-discount portion, please refer to Disposal or Trade-in of Equipment posted in the Reference Area of our website for more information.

### NOTICE ON RULES AND FUNDS AVAILABLITY

Applicants' receipt of funding commitments is contingent on their compliance with all statutory, regulatory, and procedural requirements of the Schools and Libraries Program and the FCC's rules. Applicants who have received funding commitments continue to be subject to audits and other reviews that USAC and/or the FCC may undertake periodically to assure that funds that have been committed are being used in accordance with all such requirements. USAC may be required to reduce or cancel funding commitments that were not issued in accordance with such requirements, whether due to action or inaction, including but not limited to that by USAC, the applicant, or the service provider. USAC, and other appropriate authorities (including but not limited to the FCC), may pursue enforcement actions and other means of recourse to collect improperly disbursed funds.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



1 N. New York Rd., Suite 39 Galloway, NJ 08205 Phone: (609) 404-3440 Fax: (609)404-3441

James J. Carroll, III (AZ and NJ Bar) Benjamin B. Brenner (NJ Bar) Daniel J. Gallagher (NJ Bar) Of Counsel Darryl Rhone (NJ Bar) Of Counsel CasinoAtty@aol.com Ben@CarrollLawFirm.com

October 2, 2017

### Via Email Sheila.murray@usac.org

Sheila Murray Universal Service Administrative Co. Schools and Libraries Program PO Box 7026 Lawrence, KS 66044-7026

Re: PAST DUE NOTICE

FORM 471 APPLICATION NUMBER
FUNDING YEARS
APPLICANT'S FORM INDENTIFIER
BILLING ENTITY NUMBER
FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER
SPIN
484579
2005/2006
PSDY8.1
123365
0006516231
143001362

SERVICE PROVIDER NAME VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. SERVICE PROVIDED CONTACT ROBERT KANNEGIESER

### Ms. Murray:

As you are aware, we are counsel for the Pleasantville School District. Attached please find documentation from USAC to the District which includes Demand Payment Letter(s) – Second Request(s).

Attached hereto as well please find a certification from the District's Business Administration/Board Secretary Elisha Thompkins that the attached documentation is the <u>first</u> <u>notice</u> the District has received from USAC regarding this claim. (See attached certification).

Based upon a telephone conversation you had with my paralegal, Karyn White, it is our understanding that while you have requested retrieval of the entire file, it is your belief that two prior notifications were sent to the District: 1) Commitment Adjustment Notice dated June 16, 2017; and 2) Demand Letter(s) – First Notice(s) dated August 17, 2017.

It is the assertion of the District, as indicated in the attached certification submitted, that it never received either the Commitment Adjustment Notice dated June 16, 2017 or Demand Letter(s) – First Notice(s) dated August 17, 2017.

You indicated on the phone that you are legally required to provide us with the entire file, including any and all notices previously sent, within seven (7) days of our request; however, you will attempt to get us the same in the next couple of days. Upon receipt and review of the same, we will provide a response to USAC's demand for repayment of this debt. However, kindly allow this correspondence to place USAC on notice that the District intends to: dispute the propriety of the debt; and/or seek a waiver; and/or appeal the notification based upon, *inter alia*, the extraordinary circumstance that over eleven (11) have passed since the time the funding was provided until the date that USAC notified the District that it wanted the money back.

Surely, you are aware of the FCC's policy directive that USAC finish its investigations and seek recovery within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year and that in the Fifth Report and Order, the Commission "for administrative efficiency" announced a policy that inquiries related to wrongful E-rate program disbursements should be completed within five years of the final delivery of service for a specific funding year. The Commission found that this policy struck "an appropriate balance between preserving the Commission's fiduciary duty to protect the fund against waste, fraud and abuse and the beneficiaries' need for certainty and closure in their E-rate application processes." In this instance, it took USAC over eleven (11) years to finish its inquiries of the funding year 2005 disbursements.

USAC and the Commission have a duty to make sure that the E-rate program is operated efficiently and effectively for the benefit of our nation's schools and libraries. While we will obviously wait to receive the requested documentation and the entire file before filing the appropriate dispute/waiver/appeal, we are seriously concerned that USAC waited such an extraordinary long time – over a decade! – to 1) seek repayment of money that was previously provided to the District; and 2) to seek money that the District no longer has in its possession because it was used to pay the service providers. (See attached certification hereto). In our initial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, C C Docket 02-6, Fifth Report and Order, 19 FCC Red 15808, 15819 (2004) (Fifth Report and Order).

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

review of the matter, we respectfully submit that such action is not following the directive to operate "efficiently and effectively for the benefit of our nation's schools."

Kindly be so advised. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

s/Benjamin B. Brenner BENJAMIN B. BRENNER, ESQ.

BBB/klw

cc:

James J. Carroll, III, Esq. Dr. Clarence Alston Elisha Thompkins James J. Carroll III, Esquire
Benjamin B Brenner NJ Bar No. 00707-2011
THE CARROLL LAW FIRM
One North New York Road, Suite 39
Galloway, New Jersey 08205
(609) 404-3440 / fax: (609) 404-3441
Attorney for Pleasantville Board of Education

IN THE MATTER OF

Pleasantville Board of Education

USAC – Commitment Adjustment funding

Years 2005-2006

CERTIFICATION OF

ELISHA THOMPKINS,

**BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR** 

- I, Elisha Thompkins, of full age, hereby certify as follows:
- I am employed by the City of Pleasantville School District and Board of Education (hereinafter the "District") as the Business Administrator. I am personally familiar with the assertions made herein.
- 2. On or about September 20, 2017, the District received from USAC Demand Payment Letter(s) Second Notice(s) relating to the following funding received in 2005/2006:

FORM 471 APPLICATION NUMBER
FUNDING YEARS
APPLICANT'S FORM INDENTIFIER
BILLING ENTITY NUMBER
FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER
SPIN
143001362
FERRICH PROVIDED NAME

SERVICE PROVIDER NAME
VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC.
SERVICE PROVIDED CONTACT
ROBERT KANNEGIESER

This was the first correspondence and/or notice that the District received relating to this
matter.

- 4. The District never received a Commitment Adjustment letter/notice in June 2017; nor did it receive Demand Payment Letter(s) First Notice(s) in August 2017.
- 5. The District is no longer is possession of the monies that USAC is seeking to recoup as the same was paid to the service providers in 2005 and 2006.
- 6. I certify that the statements made by me are true to the best of my knowledge, and that if the statements made by me are willfully false I may be subject to punishment.

DATE: October 2, 2017

s/Elisha Thompkins

Elisha Thompkins, Business Administrator Pleasantville School District



1 N. New York Rd., Suite 39 Galloway, NJ 08205 Phone: (609) 404-3440 Fax: (609)404-3441

James J. Carroll, III (AZ and NJ Bar) Benjamin B. Brenner (NJ Bar) Daniel J. Gallagher (NJ Bar) Of Counsel Darryl Rhone (NJ Bar) Of Counsel CasinoAtty@aol.com Ben@CarrollLawFirm.com

October 9, 2017

2<sup>nd</sup> REQUEST: October 13, 2017

### Via Email Sheila.murray@usac.org

Sheila Murray Universal Service Administrative Co. Schools and Libraries Program PO Box 7026 Lawrence, KS 66044-7026

Re: PAST DUE NOTICE

FORM 471 APPLICATION NUMBER
FUNDING YEARS
APPLICANT'S FORM INDENTIFIER
BILLING ENTITY NUMBER
FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER
SPIN
484579
2005/2006
PSDY8.1
123365
123365
143001362

SERVICE PROVIDER NAME
SERVICE PROVIDED CONTACT
VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC.
ROBERT KANNEGIESER

### Ms. Murray:

As you are aware, we are counsel for the Pleasantville School District. On October 2, 2017, we made a request for the entire file in the above matter. It was our understanding that the same would be provided within a couple of days but certainly no longer than within seven (7) days.

As of the date of this letter, we have not been provided a copy of the file. Kindly advise when we can expect the same and why there was a delay in answering our request.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

s/Benjamin B. Brenner BENJAMIN B. BRENNER, ESQ.

### BBB/klw

cc:

James J. Carroll, III, Esq.

Dr. Clarence Alston Elisha Thompkins



### **Notice of Dismissal**

November 15, 2017

Catherine Manning
PLEASANTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT
801 MILL RD, 3RD FLOOR
PLEASANTVILLE, NJ 08232

Re: Notification of Withholding of Action Pending Red Light Rule

Application Number pending commitment: 171039912

Billed Entity: 123365 Fund Yearls): 2017

The Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has received funding requests and/or appeals for the applications cited above for funding under the Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism (E-Rate). The Billed Entity associated with these applications holds FGC Registration Number: 0006516231.

As required by 47 C.F.R. § 1.1910(a)(1), we reviewed our records and determined that as of 09/18/2017, you or an entity sharing the same Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) is delinquent on the payment of the following debt owed to USAC and/or the Federal Communications Commission (FCC):

PLEASANTVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT \$403,517.54

Pursuant to 47 CFR § 1.1910(b), we issued a Notice of Withholding Action on 09/18/2017 explaining the nature of the debt(s) owed. As of the date of this letter, the debt has not been satisfied, and, therefore, the application and any appeals associated with the Billed Entity listed above are dismissed. No funding will be provided for any of the funding requests included in the application(s) and the appeals will not be reviewed.

Please be advised that any future applications, appeals, or invoices to the FCC or its reporting components, including but not limited to support from the Universal Service Fund, payments from the Telecommunications Relay Services Fund, or the issuance of telephone numbers from the North American Numbering Plan Administrator, will be reviewed to determine if any delinquent debts are outstanding.

Sincerely,

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools and Libraries Division - Correspondence Unit 30 Lanidex Plaza West, PO Box 685, Parsippany, NJ 07054-0665 Visit us online att universalservice.org



1 N. New York Rd., Suite 39 Galloway, NJ 08205 Phone: (609) 404-3440 Fax: (609)404-3441

James J. Carroll, III (AZ and NJ Bar)
Benjamin B. Brenner (NJ Bar)
Daniel J. Gallagher (NJ Bar) Of Counsel
Darryl Rhone (NJ Bar) Of Counsel

CasinoAtty@aol.com Ben@CarrollLawFirm.com

November 28, 2017

Via email: Tracey.Beaver@usac.org

Tracey A. Beaver, Director, School and Library Division Lanidex Plaza West PO Box 685 Parsippany, NY 07054-0685

RE:

Notification of Withholding Action Pending Red Light Rule Application pending commitment: 171039912

Billed entity 123365 Fund Year 2017

Dear Ms. Beaver:

As you are aware, we represent Board counsel for the Pleasantville School District. We are in receipt of the above referenced "Notice of Dismissal" to the District dated November 15, 2017.

As you are aware, the District has disputed the alleged "delinquent amount" owed. By letter dated <u>October 2, 2017</u> to Sheila Murray, the District notified USAC that it disputed the propriety of the debt; and/or was going to seek a waiver; and/or appeal the notification based upon, inter alia, the extraordinary circumstance that over eleven (11) have passed since the time the funding was provided until the date that USAC first notified the District that it wanted the money back and further based upon the certification of the following:

1. On or about September 20, 2017, the District received its <u>first notice</u> from USAC which was a "Demand Payment Letter(s) – Second Notice(s)" relating to the following funding received

### in 2005/2006; and

FORM 471 APPLICATION NUMBER 484579 **FUNDING YEARS** 2005/2006 APPLICANT'S FORM INDENTIFIER PSDY8.1 BILLING ENTITY NUMBER 123365 0006516231 FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER 143001362 SPIN VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. SERVICE PROVIDER NAME ROBERT KANNEGIESER SERVICE PROVIDED CONTACT

- 2. This was the first correspondence and/or notice that the District received relating to this matter; and
- 3. The District never received a Commitment Adjustment letter/notice in June 2017; nor did it receive Demand Payment Letter(s) First Notice(s) in August 2017; and
- 4. The District is no longer is possession of the monies that USAC is seeking to recoup as the same was paid to the service providers in 2005 and 2006.

Said letter dated October 2, 2017 also requested a copy of the entire file. USAC provided a copy of the file to us on October 31, 2017, almost three weeks later and only after several follow up inquiries. The District was only first notified of this alleged debt in September 2017. We could not get a copy of the file until October 31<sup>st</sup>. We had this voluminous file in our possession for less than two weeks when the District received the Notice of Dismissal for 2017. It is ironic that it took USAC over 11 years to determine that money was allegedly improper paid to the District but that presently, USAC is moving rather quickly to deny any further monies to the District. We respectfully assert that this is unfair, unreasonable and not in the interest of justice or equity to the District.

Moreover, the documentation in the file indicates, among other things, that in <u>2010</u>, the District <u>did respond</u> to an inquiry from Linda Grieger, USAC, Schools and Library Division relating to information about an individual named Martin Friedman. In several of the responses the District provided to USAC inquiries, the District indicated that the matter was currently in litigation and that the Board's solicitor would be providing additional information as requested.

Based upon the same, we have attempted to contact the Board's solicitor at the time, namely Ray Hamlin, Esq. to determine what information was provided on the District's behalf. We are awaiting his response.

Therefore, we respectfully request on behalf of the District that any application by the District for 2017 be held in abeyance for a reasonable time period; we suggest sixty days (60) from the date of the Notice of Dismissal dated November 15, 2017; thus, permitting the District a reasonable amount of time to investigate, refute, appeal, or seek a waiver of the claim by USAC that it is owed \$403,517.54.

While your letter dated October 30, 2017 asserts that the policy regarding the administrative period for conducting investigations and audits does not affect the statute of limitations applicable under DCIA, as indicated in prior correspondence and above, we intend to challenge USAC assertion that this was a valid debt. We further we respectfully assert even if it is determined to be a debt, while the same may not be "controlled" by FCC's Fifth Report and Order, the collection of the same clearly violates the very nature of the "balance" the Commission sought to establish between "preserving the Commission's fiduciary duty to protect the fund against waste, fraud and abuse and the beneficiaries' need for certainty and closure in their E-rate application processes."

We appreciate your serious consideration of our request. Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. We look forward to hearing from you.

BB/klw

Very truly yours, s/Benjamin B. Brenner

BENJAMIN B. BRENNER, ESQ.

cc: James J. Carroll, III, Esq.

Dr. Clarence Alston Elisha Thompkins

Sheila Murray (via email: Sheila.Murray@usac.org) Cyndi Beach (via email: Cyndi.Beach@usac.org)

3



1 N. New York Rd., Suite 39 Galloway, NJ 08205 Phone: (609) 404-3440 Fax: (609)404-3441

James J. Carroll, III (AZ and NJ Bar) Benjamin B. Brenner (NJ Bar) Daniel J. Gallagher (NJ Bar) *Of Counsel* Darryl Rhone (NJ Bar) *Of Counsel*  CasinoAtty@aol.com Ben@CarrollLawFirm.com

January 16, 2018

Via email: Tracey.Beaver@usac.org

Tracey A. Beaver, Director, School and Library Division Lanidex Plaza West PO Box 685 Parsippany, NY 07054-0685

### Via Email Sheila.murray@usac.org

Sheila Murray Universal Service Administrative Co. Schools and Libraries Program PO Box 7026 Lawrence, KS 66044-7026

RE: PAST DUE NOTICE

FORM 471 APPLICATION NUMBER
FUNDING YEARS
APPLICANT'S FORM INDENTIFIER
BILLING ENTITY NUMBER
FCC REGISTRATION NUMBER
SPIN
143001362

SERVICE PROVIDER NAME VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. SERVICE PROVIDED CONTACT ROBERT KANNEGIESER

RE: Notification of Withholding Action Pending Red Light Rule Application pending commitment: 171039912

Billed entity 123365

### Fund Year 2017

Dear Ms. Beaver and Ms. Murray:

As you are aware, we are counsel for the Pleasantville School District. As you are also aware, we have been attempting to provide USAC with a response to its allegations that there is a delinquent amount owed by the District.

As previously indicated, by letter dated October 2, 2017 to Sheila Murray, the District notified USAC that it disputed the propriety of the debt; and/or was going to seek a waiver; and/or appeal the notification based upon, *inter alia*, the extraordinary circumstance that over eleven (11) have passed since the time the funding was provided until the date that USAC first notified the District that it wanted the money back and further based upon the certification of the following: (1) On or about September 20, 2017, the District received its *first notice* from USAC which was a "Demand Payment Letter(s) – Second Notice(s)" relating to the following funding received in 2005/2006; (2) this was the first correspondence and/or notice that the District received relating to this matter; (3) the District never received a Commitment Adjustment letter/notice in June 2017; nor did it receive Demand Payment Letter(s) – First Notice(s) in August 2017; and finally, (4) the District is no longer is possession of the monies that USAC is seeking to recoup as the same was paid to the service providers in 2005 and 2006.

Based upon information alleged in the file provided to the District, the same indicated that in <u>2010</u>, the District <u>did respond</u> to an inquiry from Linda Grieger, USAC, Schools and Library Division relating to information about an individual named Martin Friedman. In several of the responses the District provided to USAC inquiries, the District indicated that the matter was currently in "litigation" and that the "Board's solicitor" would be providing additional information as requested.

Based upon the same, we contacted Ray Hamlin, Esquire, of Hunt Hamlin & Ridley, 60 Park Place, 16<sup>th</sup> floor, Newark, NJ 07102 who was Board counsel in October 2010. We asked Mr. Hamlin whether he had any recollection or record of what information he provided to USAC. Attached please find a copy of a certification that we sent to Mr. Hamlin for his review and if agreeable, his signature. Upon receipt of the inquiry and certification, Mr. Hamlin responded via email:

I have had an opportunity to review the information that was sent to me. First I am in no position as of this moment to execute a certification. Second, I have seen no documents that reflect that our firm was ever placed on notice of this issue, who the notification was sent to, whether or not there is proof of its receipt and who specifically provided any response to it. As of this date, I have communicated with someone from your office advising that I nor anyone in this firm has ever heard of this issue prior to your initial inquiry. If you are able to provide responses to my inquiry perhaps I would be in a better position to decide how we can be of assistance.

Based upon Mr. Hamlin's response, we do not believe that there are any records of exactly

what was provided to USAC in response to its inquiry over eleven (11) years ago. It is clear now that the District has been severely prejudiced by the amount of time that has passed in attempting to defend itself from USAC's allegations.

Therefore, we respectfully renew our request on behalf of the District that any application by the District for 2017 continue to be held in abeyance. We also request a meeting with whomever at USAC is responsible for investigating and prosecuting this alleged "delinquent" debt from over eleven (11) years ago. Kindly forward this letter to your legal department if appropriate.

We are hopeful that such a meeting will allow the parties to amicably resolve this matter and permit the District a reasonable opportunity to further investigate, refute, appeal, or seek a waiver of the claim by USAC that it is owed \$403,517.54.

We appreciate your serious consideration of our request. We are available to meet with whomever has the appropriate authority to discuss this matter at your convenience. We look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you for your immediate attention and assistance to this matter.

Very truly yours,

s/Benjamin B. Brenner

James J. Carroll, III, Esq.

BB/klw

cc:

Dr. Clarence Alston Elisha Thompkins

Ray Hamlin, Esq. (via email)

Cyndi Beach (via email: Cyndi.Beach@usac.org)

BENJAMIN B. BRENNER, ESQ.

3

## PLEASANTVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION -OCTOBER 2010 BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED

DATE	SERVICES RENDERED	HC	DURS
10/1/10	Reviewed file and prepared for trial, reviewed Mora's deposition Re: Mora v. PBOE	n 1.0	(KS)
10/1/10	Prepared e-mail to Jim Richman regarding issues related to HHR bill	.25	5 (RLH)
10/1/10	Research election law cases and reviewed Court Opinion and Appellate Division re: Caldwell v. Griffin	4.5	(RCH)
10/1/10	Drafted Motion for Summary Judgment and Brief Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	3.75	(RCH)
10/2/10	Final Draft of Brief for Summary Judgment re: Caldwell v. Griffi	in 2.5	(RCH)
10/3/10	Review file, including Petition, response and correspondences in File and take notes re: PBOE v. Richman	2.75	(MM)
10/3/10	Researched Title 59 Defenses re: Lesser v. PBOE	2.5	(RCH)
10/4/10	Meeting with Robert Bloom regarding e-mail issues related to mold investigation	.75	(RLH)
10/4/10	Spoke with Alva Mather regarding adjournment of Alemar v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
10/4/10	Spoke with Gloradine Gause from PBOE regarding adjournment of Alemar v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
10/4/10	Drafted Answer and Separate Defenses re: Lesser v. PBOE	2.75	(RCH)
10/5/10	Prepared a Certification in Response to Notice of Motion to Suppress Answer and Strike Defenses of Defendant due to Superintendent's Failure to Certify Interrogatories	1.50	(KM)
10/5/10	Prepared a letter to Blisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial	0,60	(KM)
10/5/10	Re-reviewed the hourly invoice of Alemar in preparation for trial scheduled 10/7/10	0.50	(KM)
10/5/10	Reviewed trial strategy and prepared questions for cross	1.00	(KM)

	Examination of Martin Friedman with the assistance of Kyana Woolridge		
10/5/10	Re-reviewed the complaint in preparation for Alemar v. PBOE trial scheduled for 10/7/10	1,25	(KM)
10/5/10	Re-reviewed Plaintiff's answers to Defendant's interrogatories in preparation for Alemar v. PBOE trial scheduled for 10/7/10	1.75	(KM)
10/5/10	Prepared exhibits for Alemar v. PBOE trial	1.00	(KM)
10/5/10	Revised the cross examination questions of Martin Friedman	2.00	(KM)
10/5/10	Begin drafting interrogatory demands and production of Document requests, including modifying instructions And definitions re: PBOE v. Riehman	4.0	(MM)
10/5/10	Reviewed dep summary of B. Mora re: Mora v. PBOE	1.0	(RCH)
10/6/10	Prepared a letter to Elisha Thompkins & Robert Bloom regarding adjournment of Alemar v. PBOE trial	0.25	(KM)
10/6/10	Prepared an email to Gloradine Gause inquiring into whether has been able to contact Dennis Mulvihill regarding Alemar	0.10	(KM)
10/7/10	Participated in conference call in Alemar v. PBOE	0.50	(KM)
10/7/10	Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.25	(KM)
10/8/10	Propared a letter to Arthur Murray requesting that he accept the uncertified interrogatory answers as if duly certified in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.15	(KM)
10/8/10	Board Meeting	3.50	(RLH)
10/8/10	Reviewed the email forwarded from Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.30	(KM)
10/9/10	Continue drafting interrogatory demands and production of Document requests 10: PBOE v. Riehman	3.5	(MM)
10/9/10	Continue drafting interrogatory demands and production of Document requests re: PBOE v. Riehman	2.5	(MM)
10/10/10	Final review of discovery production; proofread/edit Re: PBOE v. Riehman	1.75	(MM)

10/11/10	Initial review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation in addition to taking of notes in response thereto and resetting messages from read to unread status	5.50	(RLH)
10/12/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status	4.50	(RLH)
10/12/10	Prepared an amended certification in Ruffin v. PBOE	0,25	(KM)
10/13/10	Telephone conversation with Mrs. Graves regarding Mold investigation	.10	(RLH)
10/13/10	Telephone call with Beth Finkelstein, Esq., regarding Greg Allen settlement check	.03	(RLH)
10/14/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes in addition to and resetting messages from read to unread status	5.0	(RLH)
10/15/10	Spoke with Alva Mather regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
10/15/10	Reviewed letter from Arthur Murray dated October 12, 2010	0.10	(KM)
10/15/10	Prepared a response to the letter from Arthur Murray dated October 12, 2010	0.15	(KM)
10/15/10	Researched the 2010 revision of Rule 4:18-1 as argued by adversary in support of motion to strike answer and defendant's defenses in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.50	(KM)
10/15/10	Reviewed Respondent's Answer to Petition filed in PBOE v. James Riehman	0.50	(KM)
10/16/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status	6.0	(RLH)
10/17/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from	4,25	(RLH)

### read to unread status

10/18/10	Reviewed email from Alva Mather and contacted Gloradine Gause at PBOE to confirm Dennis Mulvihill and Elisha Thompkins availability for trial date of Alemar v. PBOE	<b>6</b> .1(	) (KM)
10/18/10	Reviewed email from Ray Hamlin and Pleasantville Police Department report for complaint number 0119-S-2010-000708 and prepared a memorandum to be presented to the Board	1.00	(KM)
10/18/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox", "outbox" and c-mail folders of Dr. Gloria Grantham' e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status	3.75 s	(RLH)
10/20/10	Prepared a letter confirming the adjournment of the October 21, 2010 deposition in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
10/20/20	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox" "outbox" and e-mail folders of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status	6.25	(RLH)
10/20/10	Prepared a letter to Robert Bloom, Blisha Thompkins & Dennis Mulvihill regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial of 11/16/10	0.10	(KM)
10/20/10	Spoke with Allison Theoharis at Atlantic County Superior Court regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial of 11/16/10	0.05	(KM)
10/23/10	Cont'd review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails contained in "inbox", "outbox" and e-mail folders of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status	3.50	(RLH)
10/25/10	Prepared a letter to the mediator in Ruffin v. PBOE regarding adjournment of the mediation	0.20	(KM)
10/25/10	Spoke with the mediator regarding Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
10/25/10	Completion of review of approximately 3,200 unread e-mails in "inbox", "outbox" and e-mail folders of Dr. Gloria Grantham's e-mail account for purposes of investigation and taking of notes and resetting messages from read to unread status.	4.25	(RLH)
10/25/10	Reviewed documents filed by G. Polis, Esq. Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	3.0	(RCH)

10/26/10	Board Meeting	3.50	(RLH)
10/26/10	Research documents submitted by G. Polis re: Caldwell v. Griffi	n 2.5	(RCH)
10/27/10	Telephone conversation with Ms. Graves regarding Mold Investigation, Dr. Grantham and other matters	.25	(RLH)
10/27/10	Prepared e-mail to Lisa Stuart-Smith regarding mold investigation	n .05	(RLH)
10/27/10	Initial preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in read and unread e-mails	2.0	(RLH)
10/27/10	Read the decision from Commissioner of Education in Rankins v PBOE	0.25	(KM)
10/27/10	Reviewed file, reviewed documents sent from attorney, reviewed Numerous articles re: Mora v. PBOB	2.40	(KS)
10/28/10	Reviewed interrogatories while preparing for the trial Re: Mora v. PBOE	1.0	(KS)
10/29/10	Reviewed notes, reviewed file, discussion w/intern, reviewed Deposition and notes re: Mora v. PBOE	1.50	(KS)
10/29/10	Reviewed Summary Judgment Brief filed by A. Walko, Esq. Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.0	(RCH)
10/30/10	Reviewed letter regarding Addis v. PBOE from Dennis Mulvihill and prepared a response thereto	.75	(RLH)
10/31/10	Reviewed the correspondence from the mediator in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.05	(KM)

10/31/10

Reviewed notes and reviewed documents in the file, including Various letters sent from both parties, reviewed letters sent

4.10 (KS)

From the Board re: Mora v. PBOE

118.1 hrs. x \$150.00=\$17,715.00

RCH -Ronald C. Hunt RLH - Raymond L. Hamlin TR-Terry Ridley KS- Kenyatta Stewart MM-Musa Malik KW-Kyana Woolridge KM-Kelly McGriff

YEAR: 20102011

## REQUISITION

	UESTED BY		: MANNINGC	Section 200	CONFIRMATION	:NO	
4 <del>4 5 1</del> 1	HAC ON MEL	'NOVAL ;	: MANNINGC		PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER	;	,
	DOR NUMBE	R	: 17382		SHIP TO NUMBER	: \$001	HUM
MILIT 60 PA NEW	T, HAMLIN & F TARY PARK BI ARK PLACE, 11 ARK, NEW JE	JILDING 5TH FLOOR RSEY 07102			CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION OFFI 8D1 MILL ROAD 3RD FLOOR PLEASANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY 0		
**********	E CONTRAC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	ATTENTION:		
	QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	W. C.			UNITER	CE AMOUNT
1 2		him ima an mm	And the Market of the Control of the				
3	1	MOVEMBER	2010 PROFESSIONAL	LEGAL SERVICES	7 mar /2 mar /2 Mar mar /2 mar /2 Mar mar /2	17,857.8	17,857.88
4						,	
5		mar Hada the salar management	April - American				V+
6			1-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1		7344 We F william		4,2
7	,						
8 9							
10		-	et augst fragt gevoel is die in die Verlande voorschapen van de verste die die besteel van de verste die die die die die die die die die di		***************************************		
11				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
12					ere e brief de la franchiste de la fait de l	**************************************	
13					The second of th		
14 15			Manuscour elyperists of a commission of the comm		A. B.		
16	,,			<i></i>	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		***[	DISTRI	BUTIO	N***	тот	AL 17,857.88
ITEM	<u>r</u> ' , `	er gyra.			ITEM ACCOUNT		AMOUNT
4	11-000-230-3	31-0000-351		17,857.88	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
				***************************************			
					ĺ		
		-					
					100 mm		
				1	5	ł	
					77 C-1	}	
			ANGRE P. PO COLUMN THE RESIDENCE AND THE SECOND SEC				
				•	DISTRIB	LITION TOTAL	17,857.87
PPf	ROVED B	Y :				DATE:	
**************************************				ter manager		<u> </u>	
<b>-P</b> f	SOVED B	Y :				DATE:	

Ronald C. Hunt \*
Roymond L. Hamilin
Terry Ridley

Kenyatta K. Stewart Kyana Woolridge Kelly T. McGriff

### The Law Firm of

## HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

COUNSELORS AT LAW
MILITARY PARK BUILDING
60 PARK PLACE, 16TH FLOOR
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102

Tu: (973) 242-4471 Telepax: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamiinRidley.com



OF COUNSEL Cedric Ashley\* Cynthla H. Hardaway! Rasheedah R. Terry\* Vielka Velazquez

"Also admitted in PA "Also Admitted in NY

December 8, 2010

Dennis Mulvihill, Business Administrator Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

te: <u>Bill for Legal Services - November 2010</u>

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the Agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services for the Bill for Legal Services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$22,717.88 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Raymond L. Hamlin

RLH/sb

Enclosure

9**g**:

### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

RAYMOND L. HAMLIN, ESQ., PARTNER

C 0.05 + 2.75 + 1.5

# PLEASANTVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION -NOVEMBER 2010 BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED

	DATE	SERVICES RENDERED	но	URS
•	11/1/10	Reviewed notice provided in PBOE v. Richman	0.05	
	11/1/10	Review documents and prepare for trial Re: Mora v. PBOE	1.05	(KS)
, and the same	11/1/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. i for trial prep	3,0	(RW)
	11/2/10)	Mora v. PBOB-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 1 for trial prep	1.5	(RW)
	11/2/10	Reviewed documents from the file, prepared for phone conference w/Judge re: Mora v. PBOE	1.25	(KS)
C. C	11/2/10	Reviewed deposition of Dr. Alston re: Mora v. PBOE	2.0	(KW)
Constant of the last of the la	11/2/10	Reviewed Petitioners Opposition to Respondents Motion for Summary Judgment re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.75	(RCH)
	11/2/10)	Reviewed 6/3/10 correspondence from Riehman; draft notes Relative to interrogatory question re: Riehman v. PBOE	1.5	(MM)
1	1/3/10	Re-reviewed complaint filed in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.50	(KM)
Ī	1/3/10	Spoke with Dennis Mulvihill regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
1	1/3/10	Reviewed interrogatory answers of Plaintiff in Ruffin v. PBOB	3.00	(KM)
. 1	1/3/10	Reviewed Plaintiff's responses to Defendant's Request for Admissions in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.50	(KM)
1	1/3/10	Reviewed Plaintiff's responses to Defendant's request for production of documents re: Ruffin v. PBOE	).25	(KM)
11	l/4/10	Reviewed Plaintiff's documents provided in response to PBOE's request in Ruffin v. PBOE		
	_	memorandum from Alston to Ruffin dated August 19, 2009	.15	(KM)
		Administrative evaluation of Ruffin for 08-09 school year	.25	(KM)
		Mariantena matina afiamilia di	.10	(KM)
	***	Reviewed notice of termination dated August 12, 2009  Prodential documents recording State Revolution Days	.05	(KM)
	•	Prudential documents regarding State Employees Pension Plan 0	.15	(KM)

	<ul> <li>Ruffin's job search list and attachments</li> <li>Reviewed unemployment documents of Ruffin</li> <li>Reviewed Ruffin's contract with PBOE</li> </ul>	1,50 0.25	(KM)
•	- Reviewed correspondence between Ruffin & Dr. Grantham	0.35 0.05	<b>\</b>
	- Reviewed August 11, 2009 Board agenda	0.35	,
11/4/10	Reviewed Interrogatory answers of Defendant in Ruffin v. PBO	B 0,75	(KM)
11/5/10	Spoke with Arthur Murray's secretary regarding Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
11/5/10	Reviewed personnel file of Ruffin Re: Ruffin v. PBOE	1.50	(KM)
11/5/10	Began preparing deposition questions for James Ruffin Re: Ruffin v. PBOE	1.75	(KM)
11/5/10	Telephone conference regarding Grantham v. PBOE	.10	(RLH)
11/5/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 1 for trial prep.	.5	(RW)
11/6/10	Continued preparing deposition questions for James Ruffin Re: Ruffin v. PBOE	1.75	(KM)
11/7/10	Continued preparing deposition questions for James Ruffin Re: Ruffin v. PBOE	3.75	(KM)
11/7/10	Reviewed FMLA statue regarding complaints alleged in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.25	ζ <i>)</i> (ΚΜ)
(11/7/10)	Researched the standard for Summary Judgment and response To Petitioner's Argument re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.0	(KW)
11/8/10	Prepared a letter to PBOE requesting the personnel file of Lonniyell Sykes regarding the matter of Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
11/8/10	Read the May 26, 2009 Board meeting minutes in preparation for deposition of Plaintiff in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.75	(KM)
11/8/10	Read the August 11, 2009 Board meeting minutes in preparation for deposition of Plaintiff in Ruffin v. PBOE	1.00	(KM)
11/8/10	Revised the deposition questions prepared in Ruffin v. PBOE	3.00	(KM)
11/8/10	Prepared a letter to the mediator in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)

	11/8/10	Continued preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails	2.50	(RLH)
	11/8/10	Finalize PBOB v. Richman discovery demand	1.0	(MM)
	11/8/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 2 for trial prep	1.5	(ŘŴ)
	11/9/10	Reviewed proposed Agenda for Board Meeting	1.0	(RLH)
	11/9/10	Board Meeting	2,50	(RLH)
	11/9/10	Drafted Respondents Reply brief to Petitioners Opposition Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	1.0	(RCH)
	11/10/10	Continued preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails	2.0	(RLH)
	11/10/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 2 for trial prep	1.25	(RW)
	11/10/10	Reviewed Indemnification Agreement provided by Blisha		45
المناوضة ا	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Thompkins regarding the Thanksgiving Day parade	0.25	(KM)
	11/10/10	Review and Read of Commissioner's decision in case Re: Rankins v. PBOE	.25	(KW)
	11/10/10	Conference call w/Petitioner's Attorney regarding Commissioner's decision re: Rankins v. PBOE	.50	(KW)
	11/11/10	Reviewed Petitioners Attachments to Opposition to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment, brief And transcript of Atlantic City case Re: Marty Small et als. re: Caldwell v. Griffin	6.0	(RCH)
	11/11/10	Review documents and took notes, reviewed complaint Filed and request re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	1.0	( <b>Κ</b> S) ξή
	11/12/10	Reviewed e-mail message regarding Reading First Grant and reviewed spread sheet	.25	(RLH)
(	11/12/10	Researched points raised on Attached Brief Re; Caldwell v. Griffin	2.75	(RCH)

11/12/10	Review documents, discussion w/Atty. RLH, review letters sent To the court, from the Plaintiff Atty. re: Mora v. PBOE	.50	(RCH)
11/13/10	Drafted notes in preparation of Summary Judgment Motion Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.75	(RCH)
11/13/10	Review file, continue draft of answers to interrogatories, review RLH notes, compare answer to petition, check review law On reasons for violation re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	1.50	(KS)
11/15/10	Continued preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails and gathering of documents in support thereof	4.50	(RLH)
11/15/10	Reviewed notes for Summary Judgment Argument Re: Caldwell v. Griffin	1,50	(RLH)
11/15/10	Reviewed Unfair Labor Practice Complaint re: Smith v. PBOB	.75	(RCH)
(11/15/10)	Discussion w/Ms. Graves, review questions Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	.10	(KS)
11/15/10	Discussion w/RLH, review file, review questions to Interrgotaories, continue draft of answers re; Grantham v. PBOB	2.0	(KS)
1/15/10	Review question and prepare answer to interrogatories Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	2.10	(KS)
11/16/10	Completed final preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails, proofreading and gathering of documents contained therein	6.0	(RLH)
11/16/10	Review file, discussion w/Atty. RLH, discussion w/Atty. KW, Discussion w/Atty. TR, Continue answers to interrogatories Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	3.15	(KS)
11/16/10	Review letters from the Atty, and review documents in case Re: Mora v. PBOR	2.0	(KS)
11/16/10	Board Meeting	2.25	(RLH)
1/16/10	Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.15	(KM)
11/16/10	Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.15	(KM)
	11/13/10 11/13/10 11/15/10 11/15/10 11/15/10 11/15/10 11/16/10 11/16/10 11/16/10 11/16/10	11/13/10 Drafted notes in preparation of Summary Judgment Motion Re: Caldwell v. Griffin  11/13/10 Review file, continue draft of answers to interrogatories, review RLH notes, compare answer to petition, check review law On reasons for violation re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10 Continued preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails and gathering of documents in support thereof  11/15/10 Reviewed notes for Summary Judgment Argument Re: Caldwell v. Griffin  11/15/10 Reviewed Unfair Labor Practice Complaint re: Smith v. PBOB  11/15/10 Discussion w/Ms. Graves, review questions Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10 Discussion w/RLH, review file, review questions to Interrogatories, continue draft of answers re: Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10 Completed final preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails, proofreading and gathering of documents contained therein  11/16/10 Review file, discussion w/Atty. RLH, discussion w/Atty. KW, Discussion w/Atty. TR, Continue answers to interrogatories Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/16/10 Review letters from the Atty, and review documents in case Re: Mora v. PBOB  11/16/10 Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB	To the court, from the Plaintiff Atty. re: Mora v. PBOB  11/13/10  Drafted notes in preparation of Summary Judgment Motion Re: Caldwell v. Griffin  11/13/10  Review file, continue draft of answers to interrogatories, review RLH notes, compare answer to petition, check review law On reasons for violation re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10  Continued preparation of Mold Investigation Report including comparing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails and gathering of documents in support thereof  11/15/10  Reviewed notes for Summary Judgment Argument Re: Caldwell v. Griffin  11/15/10  Reviewed Unfair Labor Practice Complaint re: Smith v. PBOB  11/15/10  Discussion w/Ms. Graves, review questions Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10  Discussion w/RLH, review file, review questions to Interrogatories, continue draft of answers re: Grantham v. PBOB  11/15/10  Review question and prepare answer to interrogatories Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/16/10  Completed final preparation of Mold Investigation Report including companing information contained in notes with information contained in unread e-mails, proofireading and gathering of documents contained therein  11/16/10  Review file, discussion w/Atty. RLH, discussion w/Atty. KW, Discussion w/Atty. TR, Continue answers to interrogatories Re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOB  11/16/10  Review letters from the Atty, and review documents in case Re: Mora v. PBOB  11/16/10  Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB  11/16/10  Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB  11/16/10  Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB  11/16/10  Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB  11/16/10  Spoke with Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOB

~	11/16/10	Researched issues raised by Unfair Labor Practice Complaint Re: Smith v. PBOE	2,50	(RCH)
( ,	11/17/10	Telephone conversation with Mrs. Graves regarding issues raised at Board Meeting	.25	(RLH)
· ·	11/17/10	Oral Argument on Summary Judgment before Hon. Valerie Armstrong, A.J.S.C. re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.0	(RCH)
(	11/17/10	Drafted Answer and Separate Defenses re: Caldwell v. Griffin	2.0	(RCH)
	11/18/10	Initial preparation of proposed tenure charges regarding Dr. Grantham	2.0	(RLH)
	11/18/10	Reviewed OPRA request of Chris Ramirez and spoke with Ray Hamlin about same request	0.15	(KM)
	11/18/10	Researched OPRA statute to determine what documents, if any, should be disclosed pursuant to the request of Chris Ramirez	1.75	(KM)
	11/18/10	Continued to prep answers to interrogatory questions sent from The Petitioner's Atty., looked on PBOE website for specific Information re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	2.10	(KS)
	11/18/10	Review questions and continue answers to interrogatories, Review RLH notes in the file, continued to review request Made by the adversary re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	1.45	(KS)
	11/19/10	Continued researching the OPRA statute and case law to determine what documents, if any, should be disclosed pursuant to the request of Chris Ramírez		(KM)
	11/19/10	Continued answering request for interrogatories sent from Attorney office, continue with the finding the appropriate Objections for the specific request re: Gloria Grantham v. PBOE	2.20	(KS)
		Continued researching the OPRA statute and case law to determine what documents, if any, should be disclosed pursuant to the request of Chris Ramirez		(KM)
		Review the multiple documents attached to the file, review the Testimony of Ms. Graves, review letters sent from Dr. Loggi Re: Mora v. PBOE	1.50	(KS)
	11/21/10	Review of Summary Judgment motion re: Mora v. PBOE	1.0	(KS)

. 5

11/22/10	Continued researching the OPRA statute and case law to determin what documents, if any, should be disclosed pursuant to the reque of Chris Ramirez	ie 2.50 st	(KM)
11/22/10	Prepared Audit Report information regarding litigation	.50	(RLH)
11/22/10	Continued preparation of proposed tenure charges for Dr. Grantham	3.25	(RLH)
11/22/10	Initial review of memo regarding information related to Press of Atlantic City OPRA request	1.25	(RLH)
11/22/10	Review deposition of Marvin Royal re: Mora v. PBOE	1.40	(RCH)
11/23/10	Review of e-mail messages in response to OPRA request to prevent disclosure of personnel, attorney client privilege communications and student information	3.25	(RLH)
11/23/10	Reviewed agenda for Board Meeting	1.0	(RLH)
11/23/10	Board Meeting	2.75	(RLH)
11/23/10	Prepared a Memorandum to Ray Hamlin regarding what documents should be disclosed pursuant to the OPRA request of Chris Ramirez	2.00	(KM)
11/23/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 2 for trial prep	1.0	(RW)
11/24/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 3 for trial prep	2.25	(RW)
11/24/10	Prepared response related to OPRA request and compiled information	2.50	(RLH)
11/24/10	Review of discovery production re: Grantham v. PBOE suspension	1.0	(MM)
11/26/10	Continued preparation of tenure charges for Dr. Grantham	1.25	(RLH)
11/26/10	Review documents: review the deposition of the state monitor, Review specific objections and note the specific claims made By Atty. RLH, focus on the documents attached and their Relevance re: Mora v. PBOE	2.40	(ĶS)
11/27/10	Reviewed deposition summary of Dr. Alston Vol. I	2.0	(KW)

•	Re: Mora v. PBOE		
11/27/10	Review documents, review articles and other documents in Reference to the case, review Ms. Jackson's deposition, Look for specific information pertaining to her feelings Of Mora qualification re: Mora v. PBOE	3.20	(KS)
11/28/10	Reviewed deposition summary of Dr. Alston Vol. II Re: Mora v. PBOE	2.0	(K.W)
11/29/10	Continued preparation of tenure charges for Dr. Grantham	2.0	(RLH)
11/29/10	Reviewed e-mail message regarding BEOC complaint	.05	(RLH)
11/29/10	Mora v. PBOE-Deposition Summary of Dr. Alston Vol. 3 for trial prep	2.0	(RW)
11/30/10	Began preparing a Motion for Reconsideration in Ruffin v. PBOE	1.25	(KM)
Reimbursem	ents ·		1,6

Document Technology re: Pleasantville Investigation Report

\$120.38

### 150.65 hrs. x \$150.00+ reimbursement (\$120.38)=\$22,717.88

RCH -Ronald C. Hunt

RLH - Raymond L. Hamlin

TR- Terry Ridley KS- Kenyatta Stewart

MM-Musa Malik

KW-Kyana Woolridge KM-Kelly McGriff



Document Technologies, Inc.

60 Park Place Lower Level Newark, NJ 07102 Phone: 973-622-6111

Fax: 973-622-6333 Fed. ID No.: 58-2413793 INVOICE

Invoice Number: 558507

Invoice Date: 11/30/10

Bill To: Hunt Hamlin & Ridley 50 Park Place 18th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 Stephanie Olloe

Ship To: Hunt Hamtin & Ridley 60 Park Place 18th Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Customer ID

24175 Net 15 Days NEW CPH

SalesPerson 2 SalesPerson 2 Cust. P.O. Job No.

11-12407

50

ESI Project No.

Client / Matter No. PBOE Mold Contamination Report

Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Total Price
800	Litigation Copies B/W 8.5x11	0.10	80.00
11	Velo Bind	2.50	27.50
20	Cardstock	0.25	5.00

Thank you for choosing Document Technologies, Inc. Past due involces are subject to 1.5% interest per month

Subtotal: 112.50

Total Sales Tax:

7.88

Total:

120.38

Remit To: Document Technologies, Inc.

Accepted By:

PO Box 934272 Atlanta, GA 31193-4272

olo

YEAR: 20102011

## REQUISITION

REQUISITION NU	MBER : 2271	DATE : JAN-24-2011	PAGE: 1 OF 1'	BATCH: M	ANNINGC
REQUESTED BY WAITING ON API			CONFIRMATION PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER	: NO : 11001924	
VENDOR NUMBE	R : 17362		SHIP TO NUMBER	: S001	
HUNT, HAMLIN & F MILITARY PARK B 60 PARK PLACE, 1 NEWARK, NEW JE	UILDING 6TH FLOOR		CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION OFF 801 MILL ROAD 3RD FLOOR PLEASANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY O		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
STATE CONTRA			ATTENTION:		
LINE QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION			UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1 1	DECEMBER 2010 PROF	essional legal servic	FS	10,914.000	10,914.00
3	DECEMBER 201011101		· ·		
4					
5			arramany, y Printerramanana ya Mikilikalika an a printerramana ya Mikilikali ya Mikilika makama ak Mikilikali		**************************************
6		·			
7		The second secon			
8					
10		MARKET STATES OF	(MA) with the control of the control		100000 m (e) - 100000 m 10000 m 1000 m 1
11	411	······································			
12					
13		)			
14					,
15		44 November		37	
16	***DIC	TRIBUTI	O N * * *	TOTAL	10,914.00
ACCOUNT (As a final)	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION			SCRIPTION	•
11-000-230-331-0000-351	GENERAL ADMIN-LEGAL F				4 44 44 44
		-			
					1
•		•			
			98 mary 1		
•					
				:	
		•			
	•				,
and the state of t	The second secon		DISTR	IBUTION TOTAL	10,914.00
APPROVED	BY:	•	Secretary (1988) and (	DATE:	
40000°==	DV.			DATE -	
APPROVED	BY:			DATE:	



Ronald C. Hunt \*
Raymond L. Hamiin
Terry Ridley

Kenyatta K. Stewart Kyana Woolridge Kelly T. McGriff

### The Law Firm of

## HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Counselors At Law Military Park Building 50 Park Place, 16th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: (973) 242-4471 Telerax: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamiinRidley.com



OF COUNSIL Cedific Ashleri Cynthla H. Handawayf Basheedah B. Terry Vielka Velazqi ez

\*Also admitted in PA \*Also Admitted in NY

January 18, 2011

Dennis Mulvihill, Business Administrator Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Re: Bill for Legal Services - December 2010

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the Agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services for the Bill for Legal Services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$12,834.00 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

hunt, hamlin & Ridley

Raymond L. Hamlin

RĽH/sb

Enclosure

### CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

LOYMOND L. HAMLIN, ESQ. PARTNER

## PLEASANTVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION -DECEMBER 2010 BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED

100

	DATE	SERVICES RENDERED	<u>HOUR</u>	<u>\$</u>
	12/1/10	Reviewed the Memorandum sent from Dennis Mulvihill regarding the repair & maintenance buses & district vehicles bid	0.50	(KM)
	12/1/10	Researched whether PBOE could reject a bid that did not comply with the requirements as set forth in the bid although the bid contained the lowest price of all bids received and prepared a Memorandum	1.35	(KM)
	12/1/10	Prepared a letter to Dennis Mulvihill regarding the memorandum prepared regarding the maintenance bids	0.10	(KM)
(	12/1/10	Rankins v. PBOE- Notice of Appeal & Case Information Statemen	t 4.5	(KW)
	12/1/10	Assisted Kyana Woolridge in preparing her Case Information Statement regarding Rankins v. PBOE	0.65	(KM)
	12/1/10	Reviewed e-mail regarding reservation of rights letters	.50	(RLH)
	12/2/10	Continued preparation of proposed tenure charges for Dr. Grantham	2.75	(RLH)
	12/2/10	Completed preparing a Motion for Reconsideration in Ruffin v. PBOE	1.00	(KM)
	12/2/10	Review Dr. Alston's deposition and his interview package sent From his office, review list and different candidates and compare Job descriptions to what Mora had in his resume, review Mora Job information/history re: Mora v. PBOB	2.45	(KS)
	12/3/10	Continued preparation of proposed tenure charges for Dr. Grantham including review of file documents	3.0	(RLH)
	12/6/10	Continued preparation of proposed tenure charges for Dr. Grantham and proofreading of same	3.50	(RLH)
	12/6/10	Prepared e-mail of instructions for purposes of tenure charges involving Dr. Grantham	.10	(RLH)
	12/6/10	Review documents sent from the Board office	1.0	(KS)

re: Grantham v. PBOE

	12/7/10	Prepared an email for Dennis Mulvihill regarding Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
	12/7/10	Revised the Motion for Reconsideration in Ruffin v. PBOB	0.50	(KM)
	12/7/10	Review documents in file, review interrogatory request, review Notes and prepare reply to request, review notes in file Re; Grantham v. PBOE	1.0	(KS)
	12/7/10	Reviewed e-mail documentation regarding Dr. Grantham tenure charge information	.50	(RLH)
(	12/7/10	Telephone conference with court re: PBOE v. Riehman	.25	(RLH)
•	12/8/10	Prepared letter to Dr. Grantham regarding proposed charges	.05	(RLH)
	12/8/10	Spoke with Michael Shea at Relcomm and Elisha Thompkins regarding documents requested by USAC	0.15	(KM)
	12/10/10	Prepared a letter to Arthur Murray regarding Defendant's outstanding discovery obligations and rescheduling the deposition of Plaintiff in Ruffin v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
	12/10/10	Began preparing Demand for Answers to Interrogatories to be served upon Defendant in PBOE v. Riehman	0.50	(KM)
~	12/10/10	Participated in conference call regarding PBOE v. Richman	0.15	(KM)
	12/11/10	Review letters from Atty. RLH to County Superintendent, Review reply back to the Board to follow Atty.'s advice Re: Mora v. PBOE	.45	(KS)
	12/12/10	Reviewed documentation re: Sandra Smith v. PBOE	1.0	(KS)
	12/13/10	Emailed Dennis Mulvihill regarding Alemar v. PBOE	0.10	(KM)
	12/13/10	Reviewed information regarding OPRA request from attorney representing Dr. Grantham	.25	(RLH)
	12/13/10	Reviewed e-mail from Dr. Bailey regarding parent requesting information regarding mold	.10	(RLH)
	12/13/10)	Reviewed proposed scheduling Order re: PBOE v. Riehman	.25	(RLH)
	12/14/10	Reviewed proposed letter from Andrew Babiak, Esq., regarding	.06	(RLH)

settlement	involving	Dr.	Grantham

12/15/10	Revised the direct examination questions of Dennis Mulvihill regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial	1.50	(KM)
12/15/10	Revised the direct examination questions of Elisha Thompkins regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial	2.00	(KM)
12/16/10	Met with Dennis Mulvihill in preparation for Alemar v. PBOE	1.75	(KM)
12/17/10	trial of December 20, 2010 Spoke with Ron Hunt regarding Alemar v. PBOE trial	0.15	(KM)
12/17/10	Spoke with Mike Shea at Relcomm regarding documents requested by USAC from PBOE regarding e-rate funding	0.15	(KM)
12/17/10	Researched the law on quantum merit in preparation for trial in Alemar v. PBOE	1.00	(KM)
 12/17/10	Review notes, review motion and other documents sent from the Attorney requesting that the case be sent to another jurisdiction, Review document sent from Dr. Alston's office, review Dr. Alston's interview list and evaluation of the candidates, Review Dr. Alston's resume re: Mora v. PBOE	2.45	(KS)
12/17/10	Reviewed brief and attachment to Charlotte London Appeal re: London v. PBOE	3.5	(RCH)
12/17/10	Reviewed documentation in response to proposed OPRA request by counsel for Dr. Grantham regarding Mold Investigation	1.50	(RLH)
12/18/10	Prepared, organized and reviewed exhibits for Alemar v. PBOE trial	1.35	(KM)
12/18/10	Reviewed hour log and all corresponding emails; revised cross examination of Martin Friedman in Alemar v. PBOE	3.00	(KM)
 12/19/10	Prepared cross examination questions & corresponding exhibits to be used for Margo Hurwitz in Alemar v. PBOE	3.25	(KM)
12/19/10	Reviewed/research cases, rules, racial claims cited by Petitioners London re: London v. PBOE	3,0	(RCH)
12/19/10	Reviewed notice from OAL re: Smith v. PBOE	,50	(RCH)
12/20/10	Attended trial in Alemar v. PBOE	7.50	(KM)

12/20/10	Reviewed deposition transcript and Answer to interrogatories Re: Mora v. PBOE	4.5	(RCH)
12/21/10	Spoke with Linda Gregier at USAC regarding documents requested by USAC from PBOE regarding e-rate funding	0.15	(KM)
12/21/10	Board Meeting	2.75	(RLH)
12/23/10	Review file, review Dr. Alston's list of interviews, review Deposition of Ms. Graves to review her opinion on if Mora Was qualified and others feeling of qualification, review Documents sent from the County Superintendent's office	1.60	(KS)
12/26/10	Reviewed my notes from the trial in preparation for written summation in Alemar v. PBOE	0.75	(KM)
12/27/10	Began preparing written summation in Alemar v. PBOE	2.50	(KM)
12/27/10	Review interrogatories to be sent to the petitioner's attorney, Review documents sent from the PBOE, review board members Oath documents, connect/compare documents to what is Requested by the Plaintiff, review Superintendent documents Re: Grantham v. PBOE	3.50	(KS)
12/27/10	Review documents sent from the board's office and continue To answer questions, interrogatories re: Grantham v. PBOE	1.25	(KS)
12/28/10	Completed preparing & revised written summation in Alemar v. PBOE	1,50	(KM)
12/28/10	Reviewed response from Dr. Grantham to the proposed charges	1.50	(RLH)
12/29/10	Reviewed notice from Department of Education Re: TW o/b/o MW v. PBOE	.50	(RCH)
12/29/10	Reviewed additional submission by Petition	3.0	(RCH)
12/30/10	Review documents, review PBOE documents and review Allegations against Dr. Grantham documents, review Superintendent's job descriptions, review board member Documents and swearing in documents Re: Grantham v. PBOE	2.25	(KS)
12/31/10	Reviewed the written summation submitted by Plaintiff in	0,25	(KM)
	$:\mathcal{J}$		11

#### Alemar v. PBOE

12/30/10

Telephone conference with Ms. Graves regarding tenure charge .05 (RLH)

process

85.56 hrs. x \$150.00=\$12,834.00

RCH -Ronald C. Hunt RLH - Raymond L. Hamlin TR- Terry Ridley KS- Kenyatta Stewart MM-Musa Malik KW-Kyana Woolridge KM-Kelly McGriff **HUNT HAMLIN & RIDLEY** 

Transfer of HINTE		
ADJUSTMENT DECEMBER BILLING	HOURS	HRLY RATE
12/1/2010	4.50	
12/1/2010	0.65	
12/7/2 <b>0</b> 10	0.25	
12/10/2010	0.50	
12/10/2010	0.15	
12/13/2 <b>0</b> 10	0.25	•
12/17/2010	3.50	
12/19/2010	3.00	
DEDUCTED HRS	12.80	150.00 <b>1,920.00</b>

75

YEAR: 20102011

## REQUISITION

REQUISITION NU	MBER : 2640	DATE : FEB-18-2011	PAGE: 1 OF 1	BATCH: M	ANNINGC
REQUESTED BY WAITING ON AP			CONFIRMATION PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER	: NO : 11002103	
VENDOR NUMBI ATTENTION:	ER : 17382		SHIP TO NUMBER	: 8001	a., sandana a
HUNT, HAMLIN & MILITARY PARK E 60 PARK PLACE, NEWARK, NEW JI	UILDING 18TH FLOOR	·	CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION OFF 801 MILL ROAD 3RD FLOOR PLEASANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY 0	r ·	
STATE CONTRA			ATTENTION:		
	DESCRIPTION	13		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1		A CALL COLOR OF THE CALL COLOR	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		
2 1	JANUARY 2011 PROFESS	SIONAL LEGAL SERVICES		10,155.000	10,155.00
3			V		
4		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	, seeming a seeming of the seeming o		
6			a managana ya Managana managan managana managan kataban ka managan kataban ka managan kataban ka managan ka ma	***************************************	
7		,			magent commencements are very bank and an address of the little of the l
8	Andrew of the second se				et demonstrationer i annoquetaren y en anno
9		, s 1000-100 pt			
10			and the second s	//	and the second s
12			<u> </u>		
13					
14		4			
15		Asymptonic actions and propagation of the contraction of the contracti	4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		**************************************
16	***DIS1	RIBUTIO	O N * * *	TOTAL	10,155.00
ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT		SCRIPTION 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	AMOUNT
11-000-230-331-0000-351	GENERAL ADMIN - LEGAL FE	ES 10,155.00			
•			,	•	
		,		4	
		ļ		•	,
			·	•	
a v a manuscus annuscus ang paga den annuscus ang paga den annuscus annuscus annuscus annuscus annuscus annusc	No-счен организация от такомительного, с общинальная поста и с спосущения с с с	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DISTI	RIBUTION TOTAL	10,155.00
APPROVED	) <b>BY :</b>	•		DATE:	
APPROVED	DV.			DATE:	•



Ronald C. Hust \* Raymond L. Hamila Terry Ridley

Kenyatte K. Stewart' Kyana Wooliidgo

#### The Law Firm of

## HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Counselors At Law Military Park Building 60 Park Place, 16th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tr.: (973) 242-4471 Tr.: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamiinRidley.com



OF COUNSEL Cedit: Ashley Cynthia H. Hardaway Rasheedah R. Terry Visike Yelazquez

\*Also admitted in PA †Also Admitted in NY

February 11, 2011

Elisha Thompkins, Comptroller Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Re: Bill for Legal Services - January 2011

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the Agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services for the Bill for Legal Services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$10,155.00 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY Kaymond L. Hamlin

Raymond L. Hamlin

RLH/sb

Enclosure

#### CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

PAYMOND I HAMI IN ESO. PARTNE

## PLEASANTVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION – JANUARY 2011 BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED

DATE	TE SERVICES RENDERED			
1/5/11	Reviewed litigation file PBOE v. Richman in preparation of filing stipulated facts	3.0	(RCH)	
1/6/11	Reviewed e-mail from James Riehman regarding Holcomb v. PBOE and Addis v. PBOE and discussed same with Ronald Hunt	.05 .05	(RLH) (RCH)	
1/6/11	Special Board Meeting	1.0	(RLH)	
1/7/11	Prepared documentation for purposes of Certification of Determination regarding PBOE v. Grantham tenure charges	2.0	(RLH)	
1/10/11	Reviewed letter from Adam Weiss, Esq., regarding Holcomb v. PBOE and Addis v. PBOE and prepared response thereto	.50	(RLH)	
1/10/11	Reviewed discovery request re:. Ruffin v. PBOE for copy of videotape and prepared e-mail to Dennis Mulvihill for same	.10	(RLH)	
1/16/11	Reviewed proposed procedure for assignment of legal matters	1.0	(RLH)	
1/18/11	Initial review of proposed Interrogatory Responses re: Grantham v. PBOE, et al., including review of Petition filed by Dr. Grantham regarding her Evaluation	3.0	(RLH)	
1/19/11	Reviewed draft of stipulated facts and edited same Re: PBOE v. Riehman	.50	(RCH)	
1/20/11	Review file PBOE v. Grantham (tenure matter); begin drafting interrogatories and production of document requests	3.75	(RLH)	
1/21/11	Continue drafting Interrogatories and Production of Document Requests PBOE v. Grantham (tenure matter)	2,25	(RLH)	
1/21/11	Prepared e-mail to Andrew Babiak, Esq., regarding Ruffin v. PBOE and Dr. Grantham's failure to provide the certifications which were previously provided to her and reviewed response	.05	(RLH)	
1/23/11	Initial review of ethics complaint entitled, Grantham v. Graves	2.75	(RLH)	

1	/24/11	Continued review of complaint regarding Grantham v. Graves including research of ethics standards as set forth in NJAC 6A	2.25	(RLH)
1	/24/11	Prepared proposed resolution regarding legal issues	.10	(RLH)
1	/24/11	Reviewed and redacted portions of legal bills from July 2010 to December 2010 in response to OPRA Request from Atlantic City Press	3.0	(RCH)
1	/24/11	Received and Reviewed Dr. Grantham's Motion to Dismiss in Lieu of Answer re: PBOE v. Grantham	4.0	(TR)
1	/25/11	PBOE v. Richman Stipulation of Facts review, teleconf w/MM re: modification of same	1.75	(RCH)
1	/25/11	PBOE v. Reihman Stipulation of Facts modifications	1.0	(RCH)
1	/25/11	Continued review of ethics complaint and procedure pursuant to NJAC 6A for filing frivolous complaints as well as the time period within which to file complaints for purposes of separate defenses	2.25	(RLH)
1	/25/11	Reviewed proposed policy regulations and made corrections to same	2.0	(RLH)
I	/25/11	Board Meeting	3.0	(RLH)
1	/26/11	Reviewed Ruffin v. PBOE file for purposes of sending proposed interrogatories and document requests to counsel for Dr. Grantham as a result of the failure of Dr. Grantham to execute same	1.50	(RLH)
1	/26/11	Telephone conversation with Mrs. Graves regarding issues related to allegations of ethics complaint	.10	(RLH)
1	/26/11	Completed proposed Answer and Separate Defenses re: Grantham v. Graves ethics complaint	4.5	(RLH)
1	/28/11	Began research on Summary Judgment Motion Re: PBOE v. Riehman	3.5	(RCH)
1	/28/11	Reviewed legal research re: PBOE v. Dr. Grantham in response to Motion to Dismiss in Lieu of an Answer which was filed by by Dr. Grantham	6.0	(TR)
1	/29/11	Continued review and research re: PBOE v. Dr. Grantham in response to Motion to Dismiss in Lieu of Answer filed by	4.0	(TR)

Dr. Grantham

1/30/11 Legal research re: unilateral early termination of CSA 4.0 (RLH)

contract (PBOB)

1/31/11 Legal research re: unilateral early termination of CSA contract; 4.75 (RLH)

draft memorandum; proofread/edit (2x); finalize document

67.7 hrs. x \$150.00=\$10,155.00

RCH -Ronald C. Hunt RLH - Raymond L. Hamlin TR- Terry Ridley YEAR: 20102011

## REQUISITION

-	ESTED BY	Secret Histories	: MANNINGC		PAGE: 1 OF 1	: NO	
VAITIN	NG ON APPI	ROVAL	: MULVIHILLD	-	PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER	•	h.,
	/ENDOR NUMBER : 17382 ATTENTION:		•	SHIP TO NUMBER : S001			
MILITAI 60 PAR	HAMLIN & RI RY PARK BU RK PLACE, 18 RK, NEW JEF	ILDING TH FLOOR	ì		CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION OFFI 801 MILL ROAD 3RD FLOOR PLEASANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY 0		
	CONTRAC				ATTENTION:		
LINE	QUANTITY	DESCRIPT	ION			UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1			A State of the Sta				40 770 00
2	1	FEBRUAR	Y 2011 PROFESSIONAL	LEGAL SERVICE	<b></b>	10,758.000	10,758.00
3				## ***********************************			
4		*					
5	<u>`</u>			*	And the state of t		<u> </u>
G 7					Name of the same o		
- 8	(In.)		and the second s		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	-	
9						- 1	
10		Manager Av 2000 - 10/19/ 1997	The second secon				
11							
12							<u> </u>
13							
14			and the second s				
15			. A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
16	,	* * *	DISTRI	RIITI	O N * * *	TOTAL	10,758.00
ACCOUNT.	TSPANIA	The season	MEDICORPHION VICENCE	SOURCE STORY	ACCOUNT TO COMPANY DE ACCOUNT DE	BCRIPTION .	AMOUNT.
	30-331-0000-361		ALADMIN - LEGAL FEES	10,769.00		and design and the second	
11-000-20	DO-ADT-ADDR-OD1		A COLUMN AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE				İ
		·	•				
				bono vo voca		بناهم	
				4			
						<b>*</b>	
		•			ì		
	•			`1			ļ.
				-	•		
				***************************************			į
				A-++	-		
			•	Į	•		
	***************************************	<u></u> Fr	- Approximate Military and Albertania of Militaria of Mil		DIST	RIBUTION TOTAL	10,758.00
						,,,,,,,	
<u>APP</u>	ROVED	BY:	,			DATE:	
						•	
						DATE :_	



Ronald C. Hunt \* Raymond L. Hamiin Terry Ridley

Kenyatta K. Stewart Kyana Woolnidge

#### The Law Firm of

## HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Counselors At Law Military Park Building 60 Park Place, 16th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: (973) 242-4471 Telefax: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamlinRidley.com



OF COUNSEL Cedric Ashley<sup>†</sup> Cynthia H. Herdawey<sup>†</sup> Rasheedah R. Terry<sup>†</sup> Vielka Volazquez

\*Also admitted in PA \*Also Admitted in NY

March 17, 2011

Elisha Thompkins, Comptroller Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Re: Bill for Legal Services - February 2011

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the Agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services for the Bill for Legal Services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$10,758.00 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

Parmond L. Hamlu

KOUTYVOVA RAYMONDI, HAMIN

RLH/sb

Enclosure

1.1

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

RAYMOND L. HAMLIN

## PLEASANTVILLE BOARD OF EDUCATION -JANUARY 2011 BILL FOR SERVICES RENDERED

DATE	SERVICES RENDERED	HOURS	
2/1/11	Proposed Answer and Separate Defenses re: Grantham v. Graves ethics complaint, discussed info contained therein and e-mailed Certification for Mrs. Graves to execute	.50	(RLH)
2/1/11	Reviewed notice from the Department of Education regarding PBOE v. Grantham - Tenure Hearing case	.05	(RLH)
2/2/11	Provided information regarding Ruffin v. PBOE including interrogatories and document requests to Andrew Babiak, Esq.	.50	(RLH)
2/2/11	Reviewed correspondence from Andrew Babiak, Esq. re: PBOE v. Grantham (Tenure case)	.05	(RLH)
2/5/11	Reviewed file and documents attached, reviewed Petition and the Request made from the adversary, prepared Answer and Reviewed documents re: Grantham v. PBOE	1.0	(KS)
2/7/11	Research for Summary Judgment motion Re: Richman v. PBOE	3.0	(RCH)
2/7/11	Reviewed correspondence from Arthur Murray, Esq., re: Ruffin v. PBOE	.25	(RLH)
2/8/11	Research re: Sandra Smith v. PBOE	2.5	(RCH)
2/8/11	Telephone Conf. w/J. re: Sandra Smith v. PBOE	.50	(RCH)
2/9/11	Rankins v. PBOE- review of Appellant's concise statement of facts	1.5	(KW)
2/9/11	Rankins v. PBOE-draft of letter to Appellate Division & relative parties	.25	(KW)
2/ <del>9</del> /11	Telephone Conf. w/Dennis Mulvikill	.25	(RCH)
2/10/11	Continued research/draft for Summary Judgment Re: Richman v. PBOE	4.5	(RCH)

.2/10/11	Reviewed proposed Subpoenas re: State v. McClellan and prepared e-mail in response	.10	(RLH)
2/10/11	Telephone conference re: PBOE v. Graves several calls were placed but no Judge was available	.10	(RLH)
2/11/11	Reviewed letters in the file and reviewed trial documents Re: Mora v. PBOE	1.55	(KS)
2/11/11	Reviewed deposition transcript of Dr. Alston, reviewed Dr. Alston's interview list re: Mora v. PBOE	1.20	(KS)
2/11/11	Final draft of Summary Judgment Motion re: Riehman v. PBOE	1.5	(RCH)
2/13/11	Reviewed correspondence re: Grantham v. Graves	.25	(RLH)
2/13/11	Reviewed correspondence re: Palmer v. PBOB and thereafter prepared e-mail	.10	(RLH)
2/13/11	Reviewed notice re: PBOE v. Granthan conference	.05	(RLH)
2/13/11	Reviewed correspondence from Andrew Babiak re: Dr. Grantham	,25	(RLH)
2/13/11	Reviewed Order re: Alemar v. PBOE and prepared PDF for Business Office	.05	(RLH)
2/14/11	Additional review of correspondence re: Palmer v. PBOE and thereafter prepared e-mail	.05	(RLH)
2/14/11	Rankins v. PBOE- review of Monitors statement of facts	.50	(KW)
2/15/11	Rankins v. PBOE- review of State's statement of facts	.25	(KW)
2/16/11	Rankins v. PBOE- review of file preparation for conference call w/Judge Wells from Appellate Division	1.5	(KW)
2/16/11	Rankins v. PBOE- Appellate Division pre-argument conference w/Judge Wells	.50	(KW)
2/16/11	Conference re: Rankins v. PBOE	.06 .06	(RLH) (KW)
2/17/11	Reviewed correspondence from Andrew Babiak re: Grantham v. PBOE (Evaluation Petition)	.25	(RLH)

2/17/11	Initial review of proposed Answers to Interrogatories re: Grantham v. PBOE (Evaluation Petition)	2.25	(RLH)
2/18/11	Completed PBOE Legal Case list	1.0	(RLH)
2/18/11	Continued review of proposed Answers to Interrogatories re: Grantham v. PBOE (Evaluation Petition)	3.0	(RLH)
2/19/11	Initial review of Respondent's Reply Memorandum to Petitioner's Letter Brief in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss re: PBOE v. Grantham (Tenure Case), including Research of legal authority	1.5	(RLH)
2/19/11	Research on Caldwell et als. v. Graves, et als Appellate Brief	2.75	(RCH)
2/19/11	Initial review of Respondent's Reply Memorandum to Petitioner's Letter Brief in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss re: PBOE v. Grantham (Tenure Case), including Research of legal authority	1.5	(RLH)
2/20/11	Reviewed correspondence from Andrew Babiak, Esq., Re: Dr. Grantham	.25	(RLH)
2/21/11	Reviewed transcripts in preparation for trial Re: Mora v. PBOE	4.5	(RCH)
2/22/11	Reviewed correspondence from Andrew Babiak, Esq., re: PBOE v. Grantham (Tenure Case)	.25	(RLH)
2/23/11	Reviewed notes, reviewed documents and compared documents And letters in the file, reviewed documents sent from the Board Of Education, Continued preparing answers to Attorney's Request For documents and Interrogatories re: Grantham v. PBOE	2.0 t	(KS)
2/24/11	Conducted several discussions w/Dr. Bailey, Dennis Mulvihill And Loletta from the PBOE, reviewed documents sent from the Board, compared to request made and documents in the original File, continued preparing Answer, Spoke with RLH concerning Previous discussions w/Board re: Grantham v. IBOE	8.0	(KS)
2/25/11	Research reverse discrimination standard, civil rights claims Re: Mora v. PBOE	2.75	(RCH)
2/25/11	Discussion w/Atty. RLH, reviewed documents sent from the PBOE, continued preparation for Answer to Attorney's	4.0	(KS)

•	Answer for Interrogatories and Document Request Re: Grantham v. PBOE		
2/26/11	Reviewed answers to interrogatories and other documents Re: Mora v. PBOE	2.0	(RCH)
2/26/11	Discussion w/Ms. Graves and RLH, reviewed personnel file and Superintendent's Contract, Reviewed Superintendent's Responsibilities, Prepared documents to be sent to Atty. Office Re: Grantham v. PBOB	3.0	(KS)
2/27/11	Prepared documents to be sent to the Superintendent's Attorney, Reviewed personnel file and finalized response to the Attorney's Request re: Grantham v. PBOE	,55	(KS)
2/28/11	Read documents sent from the Board of Education office, Reviewed notes and letters sent from the Board in reference To discovery, reviewed notes and request, reviewed e-mail Sent from Association, Had discussion w/Atty. RLH, Reviewed Superintendent's personnel file Re: Grantham v. PBOE	6,0	(KS)
2/28/11	Received and reviewed Responses to Request for Production of Document submitted by Andrew Babiak, Esq., re: Grantham v. PBOE (Evaluation Petition)	3,25	(RLH)

71.72 hrs. x \$150.00=\$10,758.00

RCH -Ronald C. Hunt
RLH - Raymond L. Hamlin
TR- Terry Ridley
KS- Kenyatta Stewart
MM-Musa Malik
KW-Kyana Woolridge
RW-Rayna White

#### Bunch, Denita

From:

Mulvihill, Dennis

Sent:

Monday, March 21, 2011 12:55 PM

To:

Bunch, Denita

Subject:

FW: Ruffin v. Pleasantville 09 EO 03285 D

Attachments:

IR110000.tlf

Please download and give to Daile to prepare for payment

----Original Message----

From: Deborah Strasser [mailto:DStrasser@njsbaig.org]

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2011 11:00 AM

To: Mulvihill, Dennis Cc: Surinder K. Oberoi

Subject: Ruffin v. Pleasantville 09 EO 03285 D

Drawer:

CLMS

FileNo:

09E003285D

Good Morning Mr. Mulvihill. Attached find the legal bill from Methfessel & Werbel. Please place in line for payment. Deb Strasser Deborah A. Strasser

Liability Claim Examiner

New Jersey School Board Association Insurance Grp

450 Veterans Drive

Burlington, New Jersey 98016

1-609-386-6060 x3039

1-888-657-6651

Fax: 1-609-386-8877

#### dstrasser@njsbaig.org

This email and any files transmitted with it may be confidential and contain privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, distribute or use this email or the information contained in it for any purpose other than to notify NJSBAIG. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete this email from your system. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of NJSBAIG.

PLEASANTVILLE

YEAR : 20102011

## REQUISITION

REQU	ISITION NUI	MBER : 4036	DATE: JUN-14-20	11 PAG	E: 1 (	OF 1	BATCH : M	ANNINGC
REQUESTED BY : MANNINGC WAITING ON APPROVAL : MANNINGC				li li	FIRMATIO CHASE O	N RDER NUMBER	: NO :	.n .
	OR NUMBE	R : 17382		SHIP	TO NUME	BER	: 5001	3169
<b>60 PA</b>	, HAMLIN & F ARY PARK BI RK PLACE, 1 ARK, NEW JE	STH FLOOR		1 108	MILL ROAD	INISTRATION OFF 3RD FLOOR E, NEW JERSEY (		
STAT	E CONTRAC	T#		ATTI	ENTION:			
LINE	QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	,				UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1	<del></del>					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
2	1	MAY 2011 PROFESSION	AL LEGAL SERVICES				5,737.500	6,737.50
3			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					
4	1	ADJUSTMENT DUPLICA	TE BILLING 5/16/11				-375.000	-375.00
5	4	KW	, parameter and a second	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	H	NAMES OF THE OWNER, TH	4.4.4.4.4	4 888 88
6	1	ADJUSTMENT DUPLICA	TE BILLING 5/17/11				-1,350.000	-1,350.00
7		KW	necessarie de l'Electrophysique, n'excenses caugh distillénces payers. Principalité appare de la		******************			
8			-			4		
9			, successive to the second sec					
10		4-14-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11								The second secon
12				annin 1 - managagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagagaga				
13	L					V		
14		Additional Control of the Control of	A transfer of the state of the					
15 16				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-		
		***DIS	TRIBUT	ION	* * *		TOTAL	4,012.50
ACCOU	NT	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION		MOUNT ACCOU	NT	ACCOUNT D	EBCRIPTION	AMOUNT
11-000-2	230-331-0000-951	GENERAL ADMIN - LEGAL	FEE8	.012.50			•	
•								•
				ļ				ļ
•						÷		1
			]					
								Į.
								1
					e.			.
								·
		nga † Physicians ( Colombia), 20 - Angustage ( Managara) - ( Million			1	DIST	RIBLITION TOTAL	4,012.50
					i	1/101		-12.12.00
AP	PROVED	BY:					DATE:	
							*	
							DATE:	
ΔĐ	PROVED	BY:	POLICE MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRE				UAIE.	

Hunt, Hamlin & Ridley Military Park Building 60 Park Place, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 242-4471 (973) 242-8295 (fax) www.hunthamlinridley.com

## Fax Cover Sheet

Name:	Hlisha Thompkins, Comptroller
Organization:	Pleasantville Board of Education
Fax:	(609) 677-8119
Phone:	
Prom:	Ronald C. Hunt
Date:	June 7, 2011
Subject:	Bill for Legal Services-May 2011
Pages: 6	(Including Cover)
Urgent	Raply ASAP Please For Your Records Comment
Comments:	
Hard Copy	x_will will not be sent by Regular Meil.
lf you do not	receive all pages, please call Kathy at 973-242-4471.
	Car Atolinalin

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this lecsimile transmission are intended only for the use of the individual of entity names on this transmission have not the individual of entity names on this transmission has any disclosure, copying or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received title communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone. Thank you.



Ronaid C. Hunt \* Raymond L. Hamlin Terry Ridley

Kenyatta K. Stowart' Kyana Wonkidge Mavamo W. Gray

#### The Law Firm of

#### HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

COUNSELORS AT LAW
MILITARY PARK BURDING
50 PARK PLACE, 15TH FLOOR
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07702

Tel: (973) 242-4471 Telerax: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamlinRidley.com



OF COUNSEL Cedric Astriey Cynthie H. Hardswey Resheadeh R. Terry Vielka Velezguez

"Also admitted in PA 'Also Admitted in NY

June 7, 2011

Dennis Mulvihill, Business Administrator Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Re: Bill for Legal Services-May 2011

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$6,641.89 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

HAMLIN & RIDLEY

(

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I cartify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of this foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment,

Ronald C. Hunt, Esq. Partner

### Pleasantville Board of Education Billing

	- Thomas of Education Philips			
5/3/11	Reviewed initial probable cause determination re: Grantham v. Graves (Ethics Case)	1.0	(RLH)	
5/3/11	Reviewed letter regarding proposed deposition re: Ruffin v. PBOE	.05	(RLH)	
5/4/11	Received e-mail regarding proposed Statement of Reasons for employees	.50	(RLH)	
<b>5/4</b> /11	Deposition of William Marsh regarding Barksdale v. Marina Del Rey Associates	2.0	(RLH)	
5/10/11	Prepared necessary Statement of Reasons letters in conjunction with information received from Dr. Bailey for non-renewed employees	2.25	(RLH)	
5/14/11	Reviewed letter re: Grantham v. Graves (Ethics Case)	.05	(RLH)	
5/16/11	Rankins v PBOE- review and read of State Monitor's Opposition brief to the Appellant Division	n 2.25	(KS)	
5/16/11	Rankins v PBOE-conference call with Petitioner's attorney		\	
5/16/11	Rankins v. PBOE- review & read of State Monitor's Opposition brief to the Appellant Division	72.25	(KS)  (KW) Puplicated  (KW) Pulli	
5/16/11	Rankins v. PBOE- conference call w/ Patitioner's attorney	125	DKW Vall	
<i>5</i> /17/11	Rankins v PBOE- reviewed transcripts of hearings below & draft Reply to Monitor's Counter-Statement of Facts	led 6.5	(KS)	
5/17/11	Rankins v PBOE- drafted Point I of Reply Brief Opposition	2.5	(KS)	
5/18/11	Mora v PBOE-Review Ms. Jackson and Ms. Graves deposition transcripts. Review plaintiff's complaint and focus on the allegati within the complaint. Review plaintiff's evaluation sent by the plaintiff's attorney. Compare complaints made by the plaintiff and the doctors reasoning for his injuries	on d		
5/20/11	PBOE- Memo & research for Superintendent regarding Graduation ceremony & participation of students from another School.	5.0	(KW)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
5/20/11	Mora v. PBOE-Prepare for trial. Review motions filed by both	2.0	(KS)	

	parties. Review plaintiff's demand on the case. Review various letters requesting discovery in the case.	1.50	(KW)
5/20/11	Reviewed graduation memo for completeness	.25	(RLH)
5/20/11	Telephone conversations with court and Sidney Sayovitz, Esq. re: PBOE v. Richman	.10	(RLH)
5/17/11	Rankins v. PBOE- reviewed transcripts of hearings below & drafted Reply to Monitor's Counter-Statement of Facts	6.5	(KW)
<i>5/17/</i> 11	Rankins v. PBOE- drafted Point I of Reply Brief in response to Monitor's Opposition brief	2,5	(KW)
5/20/11	Pleasantville Board of Education-Memo & research for Superintendent regarding Graduation ceremony & participation of students from another school	2.0	
5/24/11	Reviewed subpoens in response to deposition in the Barksdale v. Marina Del Rey, et al.	.25	(KW) (RLH)
5/24/11	Prepared resolution for withdrawal of Petition re: PBOE v.	.05	(RLH)
5/31/11	Reviewed opinion of Judge Gorman in PBOE v Riehman	.75	(RCH)

Total Hours= 38.25 hours x \$150.00=\$5,737.50

RCH-Ronald C. Hunt RLH- Raymond L. Hamlin TR- Terry Ridley KS-Kenyatta Stewart MM-Musa Malik KW-Kyana Woolridge NG-Navarro Gray

Additional Expenses:

Rosenberg Transcript Re: Ruffin v. Pleasantville BOE

\$904.39

Grand Total: \$6,641.89



٣

800.88COURT www.RosenbergandAssociates.com

425 Eagle Rock Avenue - Suite 201 Roseland, NJ 07058 973.228.9100 973.228.2634 px

250 Park Avenue - 7" Floor New York, NY 10177 212.666.1936 212.669.1867 FAX

Kelly T. McGriff, Esq. Hunt Hamlin & Ridley 60 Park Place 16th Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Roseland, NJ 07068

INVOICE

a metal control of the	1 0.5 0.5	
INVOICE NO	DATE	JOB NUMBER
180865	01/25/2011	01-197525
JOB DATE	- ASSIGNED TO	CASÉ NUMBER
01/05/2011	WASIBE	ATL/1467-10
<b>非对数数数数</b>	CASE CAPTION	
Ruffin vs. Pleasan	tville BOE	
1.0	TERMS	
Not 20 Affer 20 Y	nt. at 1.5% per month	

Orl	ginal certs fied franscript of	
	James J. Ruflin REPORTER ATTENDANCE	Tof Pages
Line Control	Postage & Handling	150 Fages 761.43 85.00
		19.00
SENSON		
100 h		POTAL DUE >>> 865.45
Tran	script, Disk's Minuscript unde	r separate cover
df		
1		
<b>大型海洋</b>		
ام مرز از این در اماری از این از		
	The state of the s	
The Print		
	ENTS/CREDITS 0.00 (+) Ju	NANCE CHARGE 38-94 (+) NEW BALANCE 504, 29
TAX ID NO	.: 22-2004979	(973) 242-4471 Fax (973) 242-8295
•	Please detach b	ottom portion and return with payment.
		Job No. : 01-197525
Kelly T	`McGriff, Esq.	Case No. : ATL1467-10
Hunt H	amlin & Ridley	Ruffin vs. Pleasantville BOE
60 Park		Invoice No.: 180865
16th Flo		Date : 01/25/2011
Newark	, NJ 07102	TOTAL DUE : 904.39
	•	PAYMENT WITH CREDIT CARD
		Card Holder's Name:
		VISA/MC/AmExp#:
lemit To:	Rosenborg & Associates, Inc. Corporate Headquarters	Amount to Charge:
	425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 201	Exp. Date: Phone #:
	Tuesday of the second s	Phone #:

Cardholder's Signature:

#### **HUNT HAMLIN & RIDLEY**

ADJUSTMENT, MAY BILLING		HOURS H	RLY RATE	
5/16/2011	KW.	2.25	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
5/16/2011	KW	0.25		
5/17/2011	KW	6.50	•	
5/17/2011	KW	2.50		
DEDUCTED HRS		9.25	150.00	1,387.50

ADJUSTMENT PER STATE MONITOR

SUD299..., DATE: DEC-03-2010 12:45:44 PM

PLEASANTVILLE

YEAR : 20102011

## REQUISITION

REQUESTED   WAITING ON /			CONFIRMATION PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER	: NO : 11001167	
VENDOR NUM ATTENTION:			SHIP TO NUMBER	: 8001	
HUNT, HAMLIN MILITARY PARI 60 PARK PLACE NEWARK, NEW	(BLILDING	And the second s	CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION OFFI 801 MILL ROAD 3RD FLOOR PLEASANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY OF		TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN
STATE CONTR			ATTENTION:		
LINE QUANTI	Y DESCRIPTION		A. Richard	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1					
3	1 OCTOBER 2010 PROFESS	BIONAL LEGAL SERVICES	and the second s	17,715.000	17,715.00
4		***************************************	- I delicated to the same of t		
5					Management of the designation of the state o
6					
7		t min and the property of the second			(A)
8					· Joseph Company
10	The second secon		را د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د		Manage (1 = 7 - 2 - 4 - 74 Million (1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
11	A	by hand and the second of the	CC ((annually))		
12		And the control of the formation of the second			Managery Spyring of the Lot of the Parket Managery Control
13		\$15.50 \$1.50	# 7 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -		14-21/47
15		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	47, \$7, 5.51	Aras va aggardent as ye quelant	The state of the s
18	and the second s		es e aux és politique à l'Alexandre de l'Alexandre		······································
	* * * DIST	RIBUTIO	) N * * *	TOTAL	17,715.00
TEM ACCOUN	T ···	AMOUNT		11 1 4 4 6 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AMOUNT
1 11-000-2	30-331-0000-351	17,715.00			
				,	
	,				İ
		,		4	
					-
	(				The state of the s
7 <b>000</b> 00	<b>D</b> 14		DISTRIB	UTION TOTAL	17,715.00
PPROVED	BI.			DATE:	
PROVED					



Ronald C Hunt \* Raymond L. Hamlin Terry Ridley

Kenyatta K. Stewart<sup>a</sup> Kyena Wooirldge Kelly T. McGn#F The Law Firm of

## HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Counselors At Law Melitary Park Building 60 Park Place, 16th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07162

Tel: (973) 242-4471 Telefax: (973) 242-8295 www.HuntHamlinRidley.com



OF COUNSEL Cedric Ashley\* Cynthle H. Hardaway\* Rasheedah R. Terry\* Vielka Velazquez

\*Also admitted in PA \*Also Admitted in NY

November 8, 2010

Dennis Mulvibill, Business Administrator Pleasantville Board of Education 801 Mill Road Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Re:

Bill for Legal Services - October 2010

Dear Mr. Mulvihill:

Enclosed please find our bill in accordance with the Agreement to provide general legal services and litigation services for the Bill for Legal Services. Please remit payment for the amount of \$17,715.00 as soon as possible. If you should have any further questions, please contact me directly.

Very truly yours,

HUNT, HAMLIN & RIDLEY

Raymond L. Hamlin

RLH/sb

Enclosure

Established in 1995

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify: (1) the services in this matter of Pleasantville Board of Education, were personally rendered by me or a member of the firm; and (2) the disbursements represent the actual expenses incurred in this matter.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true, I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

RAYMOND L. HAMLIN, ESQ., PARTNER

	parties. Review plaintiff's demand on the case. Review various letters requesting discovery in the case,	1.50	\$ <i>42</i> 150
5/20/11	Reviewed graduation memo for completeness	.25	(KW) (RLH)
5/20/11	Telephone conversations with court and Sidney Sayovitz, Esq. re: PBOE v. Richman	.10	(RLH)
5/17/11	Rankins v. PBOE- reviewed transcripts of hearings below & drafted Reply to Monitor's Counter-Statement of Facts	6.5	(KW) Fo Kot
5/17/11	Rankins v. PBOE- drafted Point I of Reply Brief in response to Monitor's Opposition brief	2.5	(KW) By Charles
5/20/11	Pleasantville Board of Education-Memo & research for Superintendent regarding Graduation ceremony & participation of students from another school	2.0	(KW)
5/24/11	Reviewed subposns in response to deposition in the Barksdale v. Marina Del Rey, et al.	.25	(RLH)
5/24/11	Prepared resolution for withdrawal of Petition re: PBOE v.	.05	(RLH)
5/31/11	Reviewed opinion of Judge Gorman in PBOE v Righman	.75	(RCH)
	Total Hours= 38,25 hours x \$150.00=\$5,737.50		- <b>*</b>

RCH-Ronald C. Hunt RLH- Raymond L. Hamlin TR- Terry Ridley KS-Kenyatta Stewart MM-Musa Malik KW-Kyana Woolridge NG-Navarro Gray

Additional Expenses:

Rosenberg Transcript Re: Ruffin v. Pleasantville BOE

\$904.39

Grand Total: \$6,641.89

## PLEASANTVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT Business Office FACSIMILE

TO:

Linda Gregier, USAC, Schools and Libraries Division

FAX#:

973-599-6552

PHONE:

973-581-5292

FROM:

Elisha Thompkins, Comptroller (EXT. 2551)

FAX#:

609-677-8118

PHONE#:

609-383-6800 EXT. 2526 (Gloradine Gause)

DATE:

October 8, 2010

RE:

USAC Request from Pleasantville School District

NUMBER OF PAGES (including cover sheet) 6

#### **MESSAGE:**

Good morning,

Please see the following fax that was also emailed.

Thank you, Gloradine Gause

# Thompkins, Elisha

To: Subject:

Richard Senturia
RE: USAC Request: Pleasantville School District - No Response | Elisha; | haven't seen any response yet. TOMORROW is the deadline! Richard

900 West Leeds Avenue Pleasantville Public Schools Comptroller/Asst. Board Secretary Elisha Thompkins, Ir.

Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232 (609) 383-6800 ext. 2551

From: Richard Senturia [mailto:rsenturia@erateprogram.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 7:42 PM

To: Thompkins, Elisha

Cc: White, Daile; Steve Glickman; Dick Porzel

Subject: FW: USAC Request: Pleasantville School District - No Response Elisha; I haven't seen any response yet. TOMORROW is the deadline! Richard

Importance: High

Elisha & Daile;

I'll be on the road tomorrow, at a meeting in South East MO. Will be back about 4 pm , my time.

However, I want to make sure you answer as many of her questions as thoroughly as possible, and that you get her "SOME answers" tomorrow, for sure.

Call my cell phone 314-397-5230 until 9:45 your time, between 12 and 1 your time, or after 3 your time, and we'll make sure you send her SOMETHING!

Richard

314-397-5230

From: Richard Senturia

Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2010 3:35 PM

To: Thompkins, Elisha

Subject: FW: USAC Request: Pleasantville School District - No Response

Importance: High

From: Gregier, Linda [mailto:LGREGIE@sl.universalservice.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2010 3:31 PM

To area them of prism pro-pi us

To: grantham.gloria@pps-nj.us

Cc: Richard Senturia; Richard Senturia@1-314-854-1329

Subject: USAC Request: Pleasantville School District - No Response

Importance: High

Date: September 22, 2010

Dr. Gioría Grantham Richard Senturia

Pleasantville School District

Phone: (609) 383-6800 Fax: (314) 854-1329

grantham.gloria@pps-nj.us

Response Due Date: OCTOBER 7, 2010

are in compliance with the rules of the Universal Service program. This is a reminder that the response due date is approaching. To date, none of the requested information has been received. The information needed to complete the review is listed below. You were recently sent a written request for additional information needed to review your Funding Year(s) 2004-2010 Form 471 applications to ensure that they

individual schools where Mr. Friedman has been contracted, we are requesting that you answer the following questions: In an effort to understand the relationship, both past and present, between Martin Friedman, of Alemar Consulting, and the school districts and

Did Martin Friedman of Alemar Consulting provide E-Rate consulting services to you or is Martin Friedman currently providing E-rate consulting services to processing or distribution of your E-Rate applications and funding. If yes, please answer the following questions. you? If Martin Friedman has never provided E-rate consulting services to you, please let USAC know as soon as possible so as not to disrupt the

Reply: He was to provide services as an e-rate consultant for the 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 school year

Ņ For what specific dates and E-Rate program funding year(s) has Martin Friedman provided the services?

Reply: 2005 through 2008 funding year

Provide copies of any and all written consulting agreements with Martin Friedman

of Education Solicitor

Reply: Due to pending litigation with Alamar, all contracts and documentation will be forwarded from and by the Pleasantville Board of Education Solicitor

- If Martin Friedman provided additional services related to the E-Rate program that are not described in the written agreement
- Reply: This matter is currently in litigation. The Pleasantville Board of Education will forward the necessary documentation via our attornies. provide a full and complete description of those services
- A) This matter is currently pending litigation
- Ø If you do not have a written consulting agreement with Martin Friedman, but Martin Friedman provided services to you related to the E-Rate program please provide a full and complete description of the services Martin Friedman provided

Martin Friedman was not authorized to provide any services other than e-rate consultation

g Are you currently receiving services from a consultant other than Martin Friedman? If so, please indicate the name of the consultant and provide a full and complete description of the services you are receiving from this consultant including copies of any written consulting agreements with your current E-Rate

Reply: Yes, E-Rate Program and E-Rate 360

specifically includes, but is not limited to, any and all communications regarding your anticipated E-Rate program services prior to the posting of any FCC Form 470 or finalization of any Request for Proposal (RPF) or similar document. To the extent you do not have responsive information, specify that in your Please provide copies of all communications between any member of your staff and Martin Friedman related to Funding Years 2004 to date. This request

may not exist. We are conducting our own internal investigation to discover those documents Reply: All documentation are held by the Pleasantville Board of Edcuation's attorney and will be forwarded to the SLD to the extent that some documentation

œ Did Martin Friedman provide any input with regard to the goods/services you described in your FCC Forms 470/in any RFP for Funding Years 2004 to 2010? If so, describe in full.

Reply: This matter is currently in litigations and involves the 2005 through the 2008 funding year and only pertains to priority 2 services

Did Martin Friedman introduce you to any service providers or in any way try to influence your decision regarding vendor selection? If yes, describe in full

Reply: This matter is currently in litigations and involves the 2005 through the 2008 funding year and only pertains to priority 2 services

10. Did Martin Friedman play a role withviegard to your selection of your service providers for Funding Years 2004 to 2010? If so, describe in full

J. Liv

Reply: This matter is currently in litigations and involves the 2005 through the 2008 funding year and only pertains to priority 2 services

11. Describe the circumstances of your initial contact with Martin Friedman. Were you referred to Alemar Consulting and/or Martin Friedman's consulting services by an individual/company? If yes, please state who provided you the referral and when this referral was provided to you.

100

Reply: Our Technology Coordinator, Robert Bloom requested a consultant to handle the e-rate information

## 12. <u>Lastly, please complete, sign, and date the affached certification and return with your response</u>

If you have any questions, do not understand what we are requesting, or feel that you have already responded, please feel free to contact me. It is important that we receive all of the information requested so that we can complete the review. Please fax or email the requested information to my attention.

If we do not receive the requested information by OCTOBER 7, 2010, your application(s) will be reviewed using the information currently on file Failure to respond may result in a reduction, denial, or rescinding of funding.

cancel an application or funding request(s); along with the Form 471 application number(s) and/or funding request number(s), and the complete name, title and Should you wish to cancel your Form 471 application, or any of your individual funding requests, please clearly indicate in your response that it is your intention to signature of the authorized individual

Thank you for your cooperation and continued support of the Universal Service Program.

Linda Gregier

USAC, Schools and Libraries Division

Phone: 973-581-5292

Fax: 973-599-6552

E-mail: lgregie@sl.universalservice.org

deplare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and compact. Executed on the compact of the compact day of

UT.

hony Kins, Elisha

グス・クラ・スタ

しゃんかり

1-3 13-6+00 × 2526

Fax Number 72 - 4 // 8

5 E

On Nov 19, 2010, at 10:38 AM, Gregier, Linda wrote:

Mr. Friedman,

Your first requested extension has been granted and your new response due date is December 10, 2010.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or concerns. Have a great holiday!

Regards,

Linda Gregier
USAC, Schools and Libraries Division

Phone: 973-581-5292 Fax: 973-599-6552

E-mail: <a href="mailto:lgregie@sl.universalservice.org">lgregie@sl.universalservice.org</a>

From: Martin Friedman [mailto:friedman@alemarconsulting.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 6:31 PM

To: Gregier, Linda

Subject: Re: USAC Request: 15 Day Letter to Martin Friedman due 11/26/2010

Ms. Gregier,

I am preparing a response for you but must pass it through my attorney before I can submit. Due to the holiday and his schedule in court, I'd like to request an extension to submit on 12/10/2010.

I would greatly appreciate your serious consideration toward granting this extension.

Regards.

Martin Friedman

On Nov 11, 2010, at 12:20 PM, Gregier, Linda wrote:

Date: November 11, 2010

Martin Friedman Alemar Consulting 610-999-9935 610-353-1005

friedman@alemarconsulting.com

Response Due Date: NOVEMBER 26, 2010

The Special Compliance Review (SCR) team is in the process of reviewing all Form 471 applications for schools and libraries to ensure that they are in compliance with the rules of the Universal Service

program. To complete my review I need some additional information. The information needed to complete the review is listed below.

We are currently reviewing applications where you, Martin Friedman, are listed as the contact person and Combweb Technology Group, SNIP Link LLC, ComTec Systems, Inc., Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Group Inc., Geoffrey P. Deans, Final Mile Technologies, and/or Webgatherings LLC appear as service providers.

USAC records show that during Funding Years 2004 through 2009 you have been associated with many different entities. You were contracted with these schools through Alemar Consulting to provide services including filing forms and managing response questions both from service providers/vendors and from the School and Libraries Program.

Your contract indicates that Alemar offers to receive all bid documents if your client so chooses, however, it does not clearly indicate which clients accepted this service and which chose to receive their own bid documents directly.

Therefore, please respond to the following USAC requests:

- 1) How do you solicit bids for your clients?
- a. Is there a list of potential bidders whom you notify when your client's Forms 470 are posted?
- b. Is there a distribution list of vendors who receive updates from you during the Form 470 filing process to determine which schools you have filed for?
- c. How do vendors become part of your distribution list for submitted FCC Form 470s and RFPs?
- d. Are you compensated in any way (monetarily, gifts, meals, etc.) by the vendors that become part of your distribution list?

It has come to USAC's attention that service providers are providing you with referrals to schools. USAC is in possession of an email from Qasim Rashad, the CEO of Final Mile Technologies, which mentions that Mr. Rashad has provided your consultancy name as a referral to the Kephera Charter School for E-Rate consulting services in late 2005. The Kephera Charter School listed you as their E-Rate consultant in 2006 and awarded multiple funding requests to Final Mile Technologies that same funding year. This is indicative of a potential a conflict of interest. We were also provided documentation during your Selective Review response for a different school showing that another service provider offered similar information through his current account at that school in 2006.

Given these circumstances, please respond to the following questions:

- 2) How often do service providers provide you with leads for your consulting business?
- a. How often are these service providers then awarded contracts by the schools they referred you to?
- b. How do you mitigate this potential conflict of interest in order to ensure that all program competitive bidding rules are being properly followed?
- 3) Do you offer gifts and/or gratuities in any form to service providers that provide you with leads for your consulting business?
- a. If yes, please provide a list of all service providers you've compensated in the past. Additionally please explain the circumstances.

It has come to USAC's attention that you are also employed as the IT Director and Special Projects Manager at Imhotep Charter Schools. This is indicated both on the school's web site as well as in the emails from you to a service provider contact in 2006.

Additionally, the Imhotep Charter Schools web site listed Irene Glickman as an 8th grade teacher. Irene Glickman is also the president and primary contact for WebGatherings, LLC. She is also the signatory for WebGatherings on each of the web hosting contracts awarded to her company. One of the schools where WebGatherings is contracted is Imhotep, where she was listed as a teacher. This is indicative of a potential conflict of interest that could have compromised the competitive bidding process. Since you are also listed as a staff member at Imhotep Charter Schools, a relationship exists between yourself and Irene Glickman that also constitutes a potential conflict of interest; you are acting as the applicant as an employee of the school and Irene Glickman is the president of a bidding vendor, WebGatherings, LLC.

Given these circumstances, USAC is obligated to ask the following question:

- 4) How was the potential conflict of interest mitigated with the Imhotep Charter Schools competitive bidding process?
- a. How was the potential conflict of interest mitigated at all other schools where Webgatherings has been awarded contracts for E-Rate eligible services and you have been listed as the FCC Form 470/471 contact?

Regarding Comweb Technology, SPIN 143005079; SNIP Link LLC, SPIN 143006994; ComTec Systems Inc, SPIN 143008185; Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Groupe, Inc, SPIN 143008940; Geoffrey P. Deans, SPIN 143020516; Final Mile Technologies, SPIN 143020661; and WebGatherings LLC, SPIN 143026864, a significant proportion of their customer base are schools or school districts you provide consulting services for. Please answer the following questions for each of the service providers listed above.

- 5) Please provide an explanation for the correlation between Form 471 applications where the providers listed above were awarded contracts and where you are contracted as a consultant and listed as a contact.
- 6) Please provide a detailed description of your relationship(s) with the following service providers; how you first came in contact with each of the 7 service providers listed below, a description of each service provider's involvement in the bidding process with the schools you consult for, and the extent of your relationship with each of these vendors:
- a. ComTec Systems Inc. SPIN 143008185
- b. SNIP Link LLC, SPIN 143006994
- c. Comweb Technology, SPIN 143005079
- d. Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Groupe, SPIN 1431008940
- e. Geoffrey P. Deans, SPIN 143020516
- f. Final Mile Technologies, SPIN 143020661
- g. WebGatherings LLC, SPIN 143026864
- 7) If a conflict of interest between yourself and these service providers was in place, please provide documentation showing how that conflict was mitigated in relation to the selection of the service providers listed above.
- 8) Were/Are you compensated (monetarily, gifts, meals, leads for your business, etc.) in any way by the service providers listed above?
- a. If yes, please explain how you are compensated.
- 9) Please provide a detailed description of your involvement in the competitive bidding process for the schools that you consult for.
- a. Are you involved in the selection of service providers in any way for the schools that you consult for?

Lastly, please complete, sign, and date the attached certification and return with your response.

Please fax or email the requested information to my attention. If you have any questions or if you require a further explanation of this request, please feel free to contact me.

It is important that we receive all of the information requested within 15 calendar days so we can complete our review. Failure to respond may result in a reduction, denial, or rescinding of funding. If you need additional time to prepare your response, please let me know as soon as possible.

Should you wish to cancel your Form 471 application(s), or any of your individual funding requests, please clearly indicate in your response that it is your intention to cancel an application or funding request(s). Include in any cancellation request the Form 471 application number(s) and/or funding request number(s), and the complete name, title and signature of the authorized individual.

Thank you for your cooperation and continued support of the Universal Service Program.

Linda Gregier USAC, Schools and Libraries Division

Phone: 973-581-5292 Fax: 973-599-6552

E-mail: <a href="mailto:lgregie@sl.universalservice.org">lgregie@sl.universalservice.org</a>

Confidentiality Notice: The information in this e-mail and any attachments thereto is intended for the named recipient(s) only. This e-mail, including any attachments, may contain information that is privileged and confidential and subject to legal restrictions and penalties regarding its unauthorized disclosure or other use. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action or inaction in reliance on the contents of this e-mail and any of its attachments is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender via return e-mail; delete this e-mail and all attachments from your e-mail system and your computer system and network; and destroy any paper copies you may have in your possession. Thank you for your cooperation.

Martin Friedman
ALEMAR Consulting, Inc.
610-999-9935
267-285-4514 (fax)
friedman@alemarconsulting.com
www.alemar.net

THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

Martin Friedman ALEMAR Consulting, Inc. 610-999-9935 267-285-4514 (fax) friedman@alemarconsulting.com www.alemar.net

THIS MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

Linda Gregier USAC, Schools and Libraries Division 30 Lanidex Plaza West P.O. Box 685 Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

Re: Response to November11, 2010 request for information from Special Compliance Review team due December 10, 2010

On November 11, 2010 I received a communication from the Special Compliance Review (SCR) team requesting information to enable the team to complete its review of Form 471 applications for schools and libraries to ensure that they are in compliance with the rules of the Universal Service program. The answers to the questions posed are set forth below.

The SCR team stated that it was reviewing applications where I, Martin Friedman, am listed as the contact person for schools and Combweb Technology Group, SNIP Link LLC, ComTec Systems, Inc., Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Group Inc., Geoffrey P. Deans, Final Mile Technologies, and/or Webgatherings LLC appear as service providers. The SCR letter cited to USAC records that show that during Funding Years 2004 through 2009 I and ALEMAR Consulting have been associated with many different entities. The letter was correct in stating that ALEMAR Consulting was retained by several schools to provide services including filing forms and managing response questions both from service providers/vendors and from reviewers of the School and Libraries Program.

The letter correctly stated that ALEMAR Consulting offers its clients the option of receiving all bid documents. Some accept that service. Others do not—choosing instead to have the bid documents sent directly to them.

## Question 1: How do you solicit bids for your clients?

<u>Answer</u>: We do not directly solicit bids for our clients. When a client asks us to prepare an RFP we do so and then use it as the basis for the Form 470. When it is uploaded to the USAC website we generally include a link to the RFP within the body of Form 470. Hence, vendors generally access the accompanying RFPs put out by my clients through a website. On very few occasions, prior to the completion of the RFP and Form 470, a school has asked a vendor to call us. In such instances, we put the vendor's name in the school's file and, once Form 470 is submitted on the USAC website, e-mail that vendor to inform it that the process has begun. Any vendor who responds to the Form 470, or any local advertisement, who has not acquired the RFP through the Form 470 link to the RFP is provided the RFP on request.

Question 1(a): Is there a list of potential bidders whom you notify when your client's Forms 470 are posted?

Answer: No.

Question 1(b): Is there a distribution list of vendors who receive updates from you during the Form 470 filing process to determine which schools you have filed for?

Answer: No.

Question 1(c): How do vendors become part of your distribution list for submitted FCC Form 470s and RFPs?

Answer: We do not maintain a distribution list.

Question 1(d): Are you compensated in any way (monetarily, gifts, meals, etc.) by the vendors that become part of your distribution list?

<u>Answer</u>: We have no distribution list. Neither I nor ALEMAR Consulting has received any compensation in any way from vendors who have responded to my client's RFPs or who have been awarded a contract by any of my clients.

In its letter, the SCR team stated that "it has come to USAC's attention that service providers are providing you with referrals to schools. USAC is in possession of an email from Qasim Rashad, the CEO of Final Mile Technologies, which mentions that Mr. Rashad has provided your consultancy name as a referral to the Kephera Charter School for E-Rate consulting services in late 2005. The Kephera Charter School listed you as their E-Rate consultant in 2006 and awarded multiple funding requests to Final Mile Technologies that same funding year. This is indicative of a potential a conflict of interest. We were also provided documentation during your Selective Review response for a different school showing that another service provider offered similar information through his current account at that school in 2006." USAC asked a series of questions based on these statements.

Before responding to those questions it should be noted that I have recovered the e-mail I think the letter refers to and note that, in his e-mail, Mr. Rashad's email states that he provided "a couple of e-rate consultants" to the principal. Having not been part of the conversation I know nothing more than what I read in Mr. Rashad's e-mail. I surmise, however, that the fact that the principal was "very interested" in ALEMAR may be due to the reputation we have established working with other charter schools. More specifically, I understand that Khepera has an informal relationship with other Afro-centric charter schools such as Imhotep, Harambee and Imani and so may have known of our work before receiving the e-mail from Mr. Rashad.

I do not know to what the SCR is referring to when it states that it has information "for a different school showing that another service provider offered similar information through his current account at that school in 2006."

Question 2: How often do service providers provide you with leads for your consulting business?

Answer: We rarely get direct referrals from service providers. If we do, it is highly unusual for us to follow up on them directly. In our business model, clients usually initiate the call and contract with us, or not, based on that conversation, and/or an interview. In the case of Khepera Charter School, above, since the principal was expecting us to call, we did.

Question 2(a): How often are these service providers then awarded contracts by the schools they referred you to?

Answer: Based on my comments above, I have no way of knowing this. My clients determine which vendors to hire. It is my experience that vendors are awarded a contract when they meet the criteria and offer the best price. In some instances, only one vendor will bid on a contract. If that vendor meets the RFP criteria and has a reasonable price it has been hired. For example, Final Mile received three contracts from Khepera in 2006. In each instance, they were the sole bid.

Question 2(b): How do you mitigate this potential conflict of interest in order to ensure that all program competitive bidding rules are being properly followed?

Answer: Given the answer above I do not believe there is a conflict or potential conflict of interest. In any event, in all cases, representatives of the school entity open the sealed bids, evaluate them, and then decide which projects/contracts to move forward. I am not involved in the decision as to which provider is selected to provide the service required by my client.

Question 3: Do you offer gifts and/or gratuities in any form to service providers that provide you with leads for your consulting business?

Answer: As stated above, service providers do not generally provide me with leads for my consulting business and I do not offer gifts and/or gratuities in any form to service providers.

Question 3(a): If yes, please provide a list of all service providers you've compensated in the past. Additionally please explain the circumstances:

Not applicable given previous answers.

In its letter, SCR stated that it is aware that I am "employed as the IT Director and Projects Manager at Imhotep Charter School. This is indicated both on the school's web site as well as in the emails from me to a service provider contact in 2006." The statement is only partially correct. I worked as the IT Director and Special Projects Manager at Imhotep Charter Schools from 2004 but resigned from that position in November 2009.

USAC also stated in its letter that, "Imhotep Charter School's web site listed Irene Glickman as an 8<sup>th</sup> grade teacher. Irene Glickman is also the president and primary contact for WebGatherings, LLC. She is also the signatory for WebGatherings on each of the web hosting contracts awarded to her company. One of the schools where WebGatherings is contracted is Imhotep, where she was listed as a teacher. This is indicative of a potential conflict of interest that could have compromised the competitive bidding process. Since you are also listed as a staff member at Imhotep Charter Schools, a relationship exists between yourself and Irene Glickman that also constitutes a potential conflict of interest; you are acting as the applicant as an employee of the school and Irene Glickman is the president of a bidding vendor, WebGatherings, LLC."

As a preliminary matter it is important to note that the information set forth in SCR's letter is not entirely accurate. SCR indicates that Ms. Glickman was an 8<sup>th</sup> grade teacher. Please note that she could not have been because Imhotep Institute Charter School is a high school spanning grades 9-12. It has no 8th grade. Quite apart from that, I do not believe that Imhotep ever employed Ms. Glickman in any capacity. Regardless, I have no personal relationship with Irene Glickman and WebGatherings. To the extent that I have any relationship with her it is a professional relationship formed after she received a contract from one of my clients. Any contract awards that WebGatherings received were solely at the discretion of the school entity.

Question 4: How was the potential conflict of interest mitigated with the Imhotep Charter Schools competitive bidding process?

Answer: There was no conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest because I had no relationship with Ms. Glickman apart from brief professional communications with her after posting the Form 470, as required by my clients. I first came in contact with WebGatherings in 2003 at the Charter School Conference

Question 4(a): How was the potential conflict of interest mitigated at all other schools where Webgatherings has been awarded contracts for E-Rate eligible services and you have been listed as the FCC Form 470/471 contact?

Answer: See answer to Question 4.

In the letter the SCR team stated that Comweb Technology, SPIN 143005079; SNIP Link LLC, SPIN 143006994; ComTec Systems Inc, SPIN 143008185; Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Groupe, Inc, SPIN 143008940; Geoffrey P. Deans, SPIN 143020516; Final Mile Technologies, SPIN 143020661; and WebGatherings LLC, SPIN 143026864, form a significant proportion of the customer base of my clients. As a preliminary matter please note that this is not surprising given ALEMAR Consulting's client base. We do not represent large educational organizations. In fact, the vast majority of our clients are small charter schools, which to the extent they are seeking technology upgrades are not in a position to award large contracts. As I understand it there are not many vendors who are willing to bid on such small contracts. Given that there are few vendors who bid on these types of contracts it follows that

there is also only a small group of vendors who are awarded these types of contracts.

Question 5): Please provide an explanation for the correlation between Form 471 applications where the providers listed above were awarded contracts and where you are contracted as a consultant and listed as a contact.

Answer: If there is a correlation such correlation is due the factors set forth above. Furthermore, some of the repeat vendors are those on multi-year contracts. Whenever a potential bidder contacts me about a posted Form 470, I provide them a copy of the RFP. The records show that we send out many more RFPs than bids received for any entity. We have no control if a potential bidder chooses not to submit a bid. All bids received are considered in the review process. Many of my small school entities do not receive bids for all of the listed projects nor, in many instances, do they receive multiple bids for a single project. It may be that some potential bidders do not see small schools as worth the effort, although there is no way to confirm this. In short, there is a small cadre of vendors who do respond to the Form 470 for these schools and therefore win the contracts. They do not win all of their bids but they do submit bids consistently through the years.

Question 6): Please provide a detailed description of your relationship(s) with the following service providers; how you first came in contact with each of the 7 service providers listed below, a description of each service provider's involvement in the bidding process with the schools you consult for, and the extent of your relationship with each of these vendors:

- 1. Complete Convergence Inc. dba Micro Technology Groupe, SPIN 1431008940: I first came in contact with Complete Convergence (MTG) around 1996 when I was employed by the West Chester Area School District. As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.
- 2. ComTec Systems Inc. SPIN 143008185: I first became aware of ComTec Systems in 2002 and came in contact with the company after it was awarded a contract by two of my clients. (Harambee and Germantown Settlement). As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.
- 3. Comweb Technology, SPIN 143005079: I first became aware of Comweb Technology in 2002 and when it responded to the posting of the Form 470 for several of my clients. The company asked for information about any additional schools that may be looking for their product. It did not win any contracts that year and only one contract the following year, at the World Communications Charter School. As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is

strictly professional.

- 4. Final Mile Technologies, SPIN 143020661: I first came in contact with Final Mile Technologies in December of 2000 when they requested the 470 numbers for 5 of my clients. (Germantown Settlement, Imani, Imhotep, Raising Horizons Quest, and the World Communications Charter Schools.) As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.
- 5. Geoffrey P. Deans, SPIN 143020516: I first became aware of Geoffrey P. Deans in 2000 and came in contact with him after he was awarded a contract with one of my clients (Imhotep). As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.
- 6. <u>SNIP Link LLC</u>, SPIN 143006994: I first became aware of SNIP Link LLC in 2002 and came in contact with the company after it was awarded a contract by two of my clients. (Harambee and Germantown Settlement). ). As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.
- 7. WebGatherings LLC, SPIN 143026864: I first came in contact with WebGatherings in 2003 at the Charter School Conference. They already were providing services to one of my clients. (Imhotep) As with other vendors, the service provider's involvement in the bidding process for contracts with any of my clients would have been limited to submitting a bid to the school. The extent of my relationship with this vendor is strictly professional.

Question 7: If a conflict of interest between yourself and these service providers was in place, please provide documentation showing how that conflict was mitigated in relation to the selection of the service providers listed above.

Answer: There was no conflict of interest between my self or ALEMAR Consulting and the service providers noted above.

Question 8: Were/Are you compensated (monetarily, gifts, meals, leads for your business, etc.) in any way by the service providers listed above?

Answer: No.

Question 8(a) If yes, please explain how you are compensated.

Answer: Not applicable given answer to Question 8.

Question 9: Please provide a detailed description of your involvement in the competitive bidding process for the schools that you consult for.

Answer: Once the school has retained the services of ALEMAR Consulting, I work with the school to identify and define the projects they wish to bid. I then prepare a document that, once approved, becomes the RFP and is the basis for completing the Form 470. I then act as the school's agent to distribute the RFP in the manner described above in response to Question 1 as requested, and field any questions and/or concerns from potential bidders.

Are you involved in the selection of service providers in any way for the schools that you consult for?

Answer: I may or may not sit with the school during the bid opening and evaluation process depending on the school's wishes. If I do, I will answer any technical and/or procedural questions posed to me. I do not indicate, in any way, which vendors with whom to contract. If requested, I may record the evaluation sheet scores.

Yours Sincerely,

Martin Friedman Alemar Consulting I certify that I am authorized to make the representations set forth in the responses to the inquiry on behalf of myself, Martin Friedman, and Alemar Consulting represented on and responding to the inquiry, and am the most knowledgeable person with regard to the information set forth therein. I certify that the responses and supporting documentation to the inquiry are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I acknowledge that FCC rules provide that persons who have been convicted of criminal violations or held civilly liable for certain acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism are subject to suspension and debarment from the program. I acknowledge that false statements can

be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and civil

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 9<sup>th</sup> day of December 2010 at Broomall [city], PA [state].

MW _	
Signature	Date 12/09/2010
Print Name	Title
Martin Friedman	CEO
Employer	
ALEMAR Consulting, Inc.	
Telephone Number	Fax Number
610-999-9935	267-285-4514
Email Address	( <del></del>
friedman@alemarconsulting.com	
Address	· · ·
442 Lyndhurst Drive, Broomall, PA 19008-4146	

violations of the False Claims Act.